

## NON DEGENERACY OF AFFINELIKE LIE ALGEBRA

Michel Nguifo, Stephane Puechmorel

## ▶ To cite this version:

Michel Nguifo, Stephane Puechmorel. NON DEGENERACY OF AFFINELIKE LIE ALGEBRA. 2024. hal-03655570v2

# HAL Id: hal-03655570 https://enac.hal.science/hal-03655570v2

Preprint submitted on 12 Jun 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## NON DEGENERACY OF AFFINELIKE LIE ALGEBRA

#### MICHEL NGUIFO BOYOM† AND STEPHANE PUECHMOREL††

ABSTRACT. The aim of this note is to prove some cohomological vanishing theorems for non solvable affinelike Lie algebras, say ALLA. There are some relevant consequences of our vanishing theorems:

- (1) Every real non solvable affinelike Lie algebra is formally nondegenerate in the sense of A. Weinstein, (Theorem 1).
- (2) Let G be a non solvable Lie group whose Lie algebra is an affinelike Lie algebra (g,e). If the radical of [ker(ad(e)),ker(ad(e))] is commutative, then G admits a left invariant symplectic structure if and only if it has an open coadjoint orbit, (Theorem 2).
- (a) In Section 6, we use our vanishing theorems to supply an algebraic proof of the normal form theorem for Lie non solvable a-algebroids.

#### 1. Introduction

- Given a smooth Riemaniann manifold (M, g), a gauge structure on M is a couple  $(M, \nabla)$
- $_{5}$  where  $\nabla$  is a Koszul connection on TM. Using the metric g, one defines the dual connection
- 6  $\nabla^*$  of  $\nabla$  by the relation:

(1) 
$$X(g(Y,Z)) = g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X Z).$$

- with X, Y, Z arbitrary vector fields. In the field of information geometry, if both  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^*$
- are torsionless, the structure  $(M, g, \nabla, \nabla^*)$  is called a statistical manifold.
- **Definition 1.** A section  $\theta \in \Gamma(TM^* \otimes TM)$  is said to be a solution of the gauge equation if, for any vector fields X, Y:

(2) 
$$\nabla_X \theta Y = \theta \nabla_X^* Y.$$

- If  $\theta$  is an invertible, antisymmetric (with respect to g) solution of the gauge equation,
- 12 then:

$$\omega \colon (X,Y) \in TM^2 \mapsto g(\theta X,Y)$$
.

- is a  $\nabla$ -parallel symplectic form. In this paper, M is a smooth manifold of finite dimension,
- <sup>14</sup> TM (resp.  $T^*M$ ) its tangent (resp. cotangent) bundle. A Poisson tensor on M, or a
- Poisson bivector, is a smooth section  $\Pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 TM)$  of the vector bundle  $\wedge^2 TM$  that
- 16 satisfies:

$$[\Pi,\Pi]=0$$

with  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  the Schouten bracket [21]. It is, in some sense, a dual notion to a 2-form.

*E-mail addresses*: michel.nguiffo-boyom@umontpellier.fr, stephane.puechmorel@enac.fr. *Date*: June 12, 2024.

1

<sup>†</sup>IMAG, UNIV MONTPELLIER, CNRS, MONTPELLIER FRANCE.

<sup>††</sup>ENAC, Université de Toulouse.

An example of such a tensor, that in fact has partly motivated this work, arises from the

2-form 
$$\omega$$
 defined above. It is given by the relation:

$$\Pi_{\omega}(df, dg) = dg(X_f), f, g \in C^{\infty}(M),$$

- where  $X_f$  is the Hamiltonian vector field associated to f. The couple  $(M,\Pi)$  is called a
- Poisson manifold. It is coherent with the more common definition using the Poisson bracket
- $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$  on  $C^{\infty}(M)$ -function by the identification:

$${f,g} = \Pi(df,dg).$$

23 Remark 1. A Poisson tensor can be expressed in local coordinates as:

$$\Pi = \pi^{ij} X_i X_j$$

- where  $\pi^{ij} = -\phi^{ji}$  and  $X_i$  is the *i*-th coordinate field.
- A point  $x \in M$  is said to be regular for  $\Pi$  if the linear mapping:

(4) 
$$\omega \in T^{\star}M \mapsto \Pi(x; \eta, \omega)$$

- vanishes identically only when  $\eta = 0$ . The next definition is dual to the # morphism in the
- 27 context of Riemaniann manifolds.
- Definition 2. For any  $\omega \in T^*M$ , the mapping:

(5) 
$$\omega^{\natural} : \alpha \in T^{\star}M \to \Pi(\omega, \alpha)$$

- is an element of TM.
- Remark 2. The morphism \( \begin{aligned} \text{is commonly represented by } \\ \begin{aligned} \ext{. In this paper, we want to} \end{aligned} \)
- distinguish it from its metric version, hence the notation.
- **Definition 3.** A Lie algebroid is a triple  $(A, M, \rho)$  where M is a smooth manifold, A is
- a vector bundle on M with a Lie bracket  $[\cdot,\cdot]$  defined on its sections and  $\natural: A \to TM$  a
- vector bundle morphism such that, for any  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$  and any vector fields  $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ :

(6) 
$$[X, fY] = f[X, Y] + \rho(X)(f)Y.$$

- The next proposition was obtained in [11][Theorem 8.1]
- **Proposition 1.** A Poisson manifold  $(M,\Pi)$  gives rise to a Lie algebroid  $(T^*M,M,\natural)$ .
- 37 where:

$$\sharp(\alpha) = i(\alpha)\Pi.$$

and the Lie bracket on sections of  $T^*M$  is given by the relation:

(7) 
$$[\alpha, \beta] = i_{\alpha} d\beta - i_{\beta} d\alpha + d (i_{\Pi} \alpha \wedge \beta)$$

Let  $\Pi$  be a smooth Poisson tensor on M and let:

$$A_{\Pi} = (T^*M, \natural)$$

- be its associated Lie algebroid as in proposition 1. Let  $x_0$  be a singular point of  $\Pi$ , that is
- a point where the Poisson tensor vanishes. In a local coordinate system  $x^i$ ,  $i = 1 \dots n$ , one
- has the Taylor expansion for each component  $\pi^{ij}$  of  $\Pi$ :

(8) 
$$\pi^{ij} = \sum_{k>1} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}}^{2} \frac{1}{k!} (X_{a_1} \dots X_{a_k} \pi^{ij}) x^{a_1} \dots x^{a_k}.$$

43 Gathering terms, one can write:

(9) 
$$\Pi = \Pi^1 + \Pi^2 + \dots$$

where  $\Pi^k$  stands for the bivector corresponding the homogeneous expansion coefficients of order k. The same expansion can be conducted on  $\beta$ . The Taylor expansion of  $\Pi$  (resp.

 $\sharp$ ) at  $x_0$  will be designated in the sequel by  $\Pi$  (resp.  $A_{\Pi}$ ). Both  $\Pi$  and  $A_{\Pi}$  have the same

linear part, namely  $\Pi^1$ , whose expression in local coordinates is:

(10) 
$$\Pi^{1} = X_{k}(\pi^{ij})(x_{0})x^{k}X_{i}X_{j}.$$

This linear part defines a Lie algebra structure in the vector space  $T_{x_0}^*M$  with structure constants  $c_{ij}^k = X_k(\pi^{ij})$ . We denote this Lie algebra by  $(T_{x_0}^*M, \Pi^1)$  and call it the linearization of the Poisson structure.

Definition 4. A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak g$  is said to be non-degenerate in the sense of A. Weinstein if, for any Poisson structure  $\Pi$  admitting A as a linearization at a singular point  $x_0$ , there exists a local coordinate system  $x^i, i=1\dots n$  such that each  $\pi^{ij}$  is a linear function:  $\pi^{ij}(x)=a_k^{ij}x^k$ .

An important and largely open question in the Poisson geometry is to determinate those Lie algebras which are non degenerate in the sense of A. Weinstein. If a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak g$  is formally ( resp. analytically or smoothly ) non degenerate in the sense of A. Weinstein, then, for every formal (resp. analytic or smooth ) Poisson tensor  $\Pi$  the equality:

$$(T_{x_0}^*M,\Pi^1)=\mathfrak{g}$$

implies the formal (resp. analytic or smooth ) linearization (near  $x_0$ ) of the Poisson tensor  $\Pi$ . Jean-Paul Dufour and Nguyen Tien Zung have proved that for every positive integer m, the affine Lie algebra

$$Aff(m) = \mathfrak{gl}(m) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^m$$

is analytically non degenerate in the sense of Weinstein, [13]. The list of known types of non degenerate Lie algebras is short, (see [15], [13]). The linearization of  $\Pi$  implies that  $A_{\Pi}$ , is linearizable as well. The inverse generally fails to hold. However, Jean-Paul Dufour conjectures that the formal linearization would imply the analytic linearization of Poisson structures whose ranks are > 2, [14]. In the analytic category, the formal linearization of  $\Pi$  implies its analytic linearization whenever the linear part  $(T_{x_0}^*M,\Pi^1)$  is a semi-simple Lie algebra, [9, 10]. The formal linearization problem may be considered from two points of view. The first one is the splitting problem of extensions of Lie algebras, [27]. The second viewpoint is that of formal deformations of Lie algebra structures. Both viewpoints are largely governed by the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of Lie algebras, [15, 25].

The concern of this work is the study of the formal nondegeneracy of a new class of Lie algebras, say affinelike Lie algebras, (or ALLA). We recall that in a Lie algebra, the adjoint ad  $\mathfrak{g}$ : Der( $\mathfrak{g}$ ) maps  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$  to the derivation ad(a):  $x \to [a, x]$ . A affinelike Lie algebra is a Lie algebra that contains contains an element e such that ad(e) satisfies:

$$ad^2(e) = ad(e)$$

Let us represent an affinelike Lie algebra by the couple  $(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ .

From the Poisson geometry viewpoint, central results of this work yield the next two theorems:

Theorem 1. Every non solvable affinelike Lie algebra is formally non degenerate in the sense of A. Weinstein. A connected Lie group G whose Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an affinelike Lie algebra, namely  $(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ , is labelled affinelike Lie group.

- Theorem 2. Let:  $(\mathfrak{g},e)$  be the Lie algebra of a connected non solvable affinelike Lie group G. Let us set  $\mathfrak{g}_e = \ker(\operatorname{ad}(e))$  and,  $\Delta \mathfrak{g}_e = [\mathfrak{g}_e, \mathfrak{g}_e]$ . Suppose the radical of  $\Delta \mathfrak{g}_e$  to be commutative. If G carries a left invariant symplectic structure, then it has an open coadjoint orbit in the dual vector space of its Lie algebra. Moreover, if the ground field is the field of complex numbers, then the open coadjoint orbit is unique and everywhere dense.
- The paper is organized as follow: In section 2, The notion of affinelike Lie algebra is introduced, then in section 3, the cohomology of polynomial maps is defined, with a view towards linearization problems. Section 4 is a short one on weak degeneracy. Finally, section 5 and 6 give the contributions of the paper, the last one being an application of theorem 4.

### 2. Affinelike Lie algebras

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be either the field  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers or the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{K}$ . Given  $e \in \mathfrak{g}$  we shall set:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta g = [g, g] \\ \Delta_e g = [e, g] \\ g_e = \ker(\operatorname{ad}(e)) \end{cases}$$

- Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be a Levi subalgebra of  $\Delta \mathfrak{g}_e$ . In the sequel,  $R(\mathfrak{g})$  will be the radical of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .
- Definition 5. A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak g$  is called affinelike Lie algebra, 'ALLA', if it contains an element e such that:
- 100 (alla 1)  $ad^2(e) = ad(e)$ .
- (alla 2)  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{K}e \oplus \Delta g$ .
- (alla 3) If g is non solvable, then  $H^0(\mathfrak{S}, R(\Delta g)) = 0$ .
- According to property (alla 2) above,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a semi-direct product of  $\Delta \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathbb{K}e$ . Here are two elementary properties of affinelike Lie algebras.
- **Proposition 2.** The subspace  $\Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$  is a commutative ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .
- 106 *Proof.* Let  $a, b \in \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$ . Then:

$$[e, [a, b]] = 2[a, b].$$

107 On the other hand one has:

$$[e, [e, [a, b]]] = [e, [a, b]].$$

Combining the two equalities above one easily sees that:

$$[a,b]=0,$$

proving that  $\Delta_e g$  is commutative. To see that it is an ideal of g, we remark that as a vector space, g admits the following decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \Delta_e \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_e$$

Now given  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  let us write it as:

$$x = u + v, u \in \Delta_{e}\mathfrak{g}, v \in \mathfrak{g}_{e}$$

Given  $a \in \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$ , one has:

$$[e, [x, a]] = [e, [u, a]] = [u, [e, a]] = [u, a] = [x, a].$$

- proving that  $\Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .
- **Proposition 3.** Since ad(e) is a derivation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the subspace  $\mathfrak{g}_e$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .
- As a Lie algebra, g may be presented as the semi-direct product:

$$g = g_e \ltimes \Delta_e g$$
.

117 Putting:

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e = \mathfrak{g}/\Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$$

we have an exact split sequence of Lie albegras:

$$(11) 0 \longmapsto \Delta_e \mathfrak{g} \longmapsto \mathfrak{g} \longmapsto \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e \longmapsto 0$$

- Remark 3. Under the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in itself, 11 is an exact sequence of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules which is generally not split. However, it is split as a sequence of  $\mathfrak{g}_e$ -modules.
- Now, let W be a finite dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. From 11 we deduce the following exact sequence of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules:

$$(12) \qquad 0 \longmapsto \operatorname{Hom}(W, \Delta_{e}\mathfrak{g}) \longmapsto \operatorname{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g}) \longmapsto \operatorname{Hom}(W, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{e}) \longmapsto 0$$

As an exact sequence of  $\mathfrak{g}_e$ -modules, 12 is split. Let us denote by  $C^*(\mathfrak{g}, \operatorname{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g}))$  the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with coefficients in  $\operatorname{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g})$ , [8]. Then, for every non negative integer k, we denote by  $\operatorname{H}^k(\mathfrak{g}, \operatorname{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g}))$  the k-th cohomology space of  $\operatorname{H}(\mathfrak{g}, \operatorname{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g}))$ . From Remark 3, one has the following identity:

$$\mathrm{H}^{k}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{e},\mathrm{Hom}\left(W,\mathfrak{g}\right)\right)=\mathrm{H}^{k}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{e},\mathrm{Hom}\left(W,\Delta_{e}\mathfrak{g}\right)\right)\oplus\mathrm{H}^{k}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{e},\mathrm{Hom}\left(W,\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{e}\right)\right)$$

This digression might be combined with the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence to be useful in calculating the cohomology spaces  $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, \operatorname{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g}))$ . However, a direct calculation of  $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, \operatorname{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g}))$  is the purpose of the next sections.

## 3. Cohomology of Polynomial Maps

Let  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  be the symmetric algebra of the vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Our purpose is to calculate the cohomology space  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \operatorname{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g}))$  where W is a homogeneous submodule of the graded  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module:

$$S^+(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{i > 0} S^i(\mathfrak{g})$$

In the sequel, we shall identify  $\operatorname{Hom}(W, F)$  with  $W^* \otimes F$ , where  $W^*$  is the dual vector space of W and  $F \in \{\Delta_e \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e\}$ . According to the exact sequence 12, we have the classical long exact sequence:

(13) 
$$H^{i}(\mathfrak{g}, W^{*} \otimes \Delta_{e}\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow H^{i}(\mathfrak{g}, W^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow H^{i}(\mathfrak{g}, W^{*} \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{e})$$

$$H^{i+1}(\mathfrak{g}, W^{*} \otimes \Delta_{e}\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

- Before pursuing, let us give some examples of affinelike Lie algebras.
- 138 Example 1. Let V be a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$ , then the Lie algebra:

$$Aff(V) = \mathfrak{gl}(V) \ltimes V$$

- is an ALLA where e is the identity endomorphism of V.
- Example 2. Let  $(V, \langle ., . \rangle)$  be a real euclidean space. Its Lie algebra of affine endomorphisms with conformal linear part is an ALLA.
- 142 Example 3. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{K} \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{K}^m} \ltimes K^m$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an ALLA.
- 143 Example 4. Let M(m,n) be the vector space of  $m \times n$  matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{K}$ . Let:

$$\mathfrak{g}_{m,n} = \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{K}^m) \ltimes M(m,n)$$

with bracket:

$$[(a,b),(a',b')] = ([a,a'],ab'-a'b)$$

- Let e be the unit matrix in  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{K}^m)$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{g}, e)$  is an ALLA. The algebras  $\mathfrak{g}_{m,n}$  are sometimes called affine algebras. Their coadjoint representations are deeply studied in [24].
- **Proposition 4.** Let g be an ALLA. Then:

$$H^{2}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{K}) = H^{2}([\mathfrak{g}_{e}, \mathfrak{g}_{e}], \mathbb{K})$$

Proof. Let  $e \in \mathfrak{g}$  be an element satisfying properties (alla 1) and (alla 2). Given a scalar 2-cocyle  $\theta \in C^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{K})$ , the cartan formula:

$$L(e) = i(e)\partial + \partial i(e)$$

implies that:

$$L(e)\theta = \partial i(e)\theta$$
.

Now, let  $x = s + u, x' = s' + u' \in \mathfrak{g}_e \oplus \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$ . One easily verifies that:

$$\theta(u,u') = \theta(e,[s,s']) = 0$$

The restriction map from  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{K})$  to  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}_e, \mathbb{K})$  is thus one-to-one. To see that it is onto, we remark that (alla 2) implies that:

$$\mathfrak{g}_e = \mathbb{K}e \oplus [\mathfrak{g}_e, \mathfrak{g}_e]$$

Moreover, the same (alla 2) shows that the Lie algebra  $[\mathfrak{g}_e, \mathfrak{g}_e]$  is perfect. Thus, we have:

$$H^1(\mathfrak{g}_e,\mathbb{K})=0$$

155 and

$$\left(\mathsf{H}^1\left(\mathbb{K}e,\mathbb{K}\right)\right)^{\mathfrak{g}_e}=\mathsf{0}$$

Owing to the Hochschild-Serres exact sequence [18], one sees that the inflation map from H<sup>2</sup> ( $[g_e, g_e]$ ,  $\mathbb{K}$ ) to H<sup>2</sup> ( $[g_e, \mathbb{K}]$  is injective, thus proving the claim.

In the particular case where g = Aff(m) and  $e = Id_{\mathbb{K}^m}$ , we have  $g_e = \mathfrak{gl}(m, \mathbb{K})$  and:

$$\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathfrak{gl}(m,\mathbb{K}),\mathbb{K}\right)=\sum_{p+q=2}\mathrm{H}^{p}\left(\mathbb{K}e,\mathbb{K}\right)\otimes\mathrm{H}^{q}\left(\mathfrak{sl}(m,\mathbb{K}),\mathbb{K}\right)=0$$

The reader may consult [1] for another proof of the latter vanishing theorem, namely:

$$H^2(Aff(\mathbb{K}^m),\mathbb{K})=0$$

The proof given here is simpler. Another remark to be made is that every affine group:

$$Aff(\mathbb{K}^m) = GL(\mathbb{K}^m) \ltimes \mathbb{K}^m$$

has open coadjoint orbits. In other words, every affine group Aff(V) carries a left invariant symplectic structure, [24]. Indeed, it is sufficient to identify the dual vector space of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{aff}(m)$  with itself under the transposition map. In other words, considering  $\mathfrak{aff}(m)$  as a subspace of  $\mathfrak{gI}(m+1,\mathbb{K})$ , one deals with the inner product:

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \text{Tr}(A^t B)$$

165 Let:

$$(A, v) \in \mathfrak{gl}(m) \ltimes \mathbb{K}$$

be a m-cyclic couple in the following sense:  $\{v, Av, \dots, A^{m-1}v\}$  is a basis of the vector space  $K^m$ . The couple (A, v) being regarded as an element of  $\mathfrak{gl}(m+1, \mathbb{K})$ , its transpose, say  $(A, v)^t$ , is an element of the dual space of  $\mathfrak{aff}(m)$ . The property to be m-cyclic implies that the coadjoint orbit of  $(A, v)^t$  is an open subset of the dual vector space of  $\mathfrak{aff}(m)$ . For instance, take the square  $m \times m$  matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  whose nonzero entries are:

$$\begin{cases} a_{i+1,i} = 1 \\ a_{1,m} = 1 \end{cases}$$

Thus, A is an m-cyclic matrix. Take the vector  $v = (1, 0, ..., 0) \in \mathbb{K}^m$ . Then, the couple (A, v) being regarded as an element of the dual space of  $\mathfrak{aff}(m)$  has an open coadjoint orbit.

#### 4. Weak nondegeneracy

Let  $\Pi$  be a smooth Poisson tensor on the smooth manifold  $\mathbb{K}^m$ . Let us suppose 0 to be a singularity of  $\Pi$  and let  $\hat{\Pi}$  be the Taylor expansion at 0 of  $\Pi$ . The linear part of  $\Pi$ , say  $\Pi^1$ , defines a Lie algebra structure in the vector space of linear functions. Let us denote this Lie algebra structure by  $(\mathbb{K}^{*m}, \Pi^1)$ . Following Weinstein, a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is called formally (resp. analytically or smoothly) non degenerate if every formal (resp. analytic or smooth) Poisson tensor  $\Pi$  satisfying both conditions:

$$\Pi(0) = 0$$

181 and

$$(\mathbb{K}^{*m},\Pi^1)=\mathfrak{g}$$

is formally (resp. analytically or smoothly) linearizable at 0. In other words,  $\Pi$  is formally (resp. analytically or smoothly) linearizable at 0 if (near 0) there exist a system of formally (resp. analytic or smooth) coordinate functions:

$$F := (f_1, \ldots, j_m)$$

185 such that:

$$\Pi\left(df_{i},df_{j}\right)=\sum_{k}c_{ij}^{k}f_{k}$$

with  $c_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{K}$ . Now, to every smooth Poisson tensor  $\Pi$  on a smooth manifold M is assigned the Lie algebroid structure  $(T^*M, \natural)$ . The anchor map  $\natural$  is the vector bundle homomorphism from  $T^*M$  to TM defined by:

$$\sharp(\alpha) = i(\alpha)\Pi.$$

$$\mathfrak{g} \times T_0 M$$

194 where:

$$\mathfrak{g}=(T_0^*M,\Pi^1)$$
.

Definition 6. A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is called weakly formally (resp. weakly analytically or weakly smoothly) non degenerate in the sense of Weinstein if the following conditions hold: let  $\Pi$  be a formal (resp. analytical or smooth) Poisson structure such that:

$$\begin{cases} \Pi(0) = 0. \\ \mathfrak{g} = (T_0^* M, \Pi^1). \end{cases}$$

then the corresponding formal (resp. analytic or smooth) Lie algebroid structure  $(T^*M, \natural)$  is formally (resp. analytically or smoothly) linearizable at 0.

The formal (resp. analytic or smooth) linearization at 0 of  $\Pi$  implies the formal (resp. analytic or smooth) linearization at 0 of its associated Lie algebroid. The converse doesn't hold, even formally.

#### 5. Main results

From now on, we shall represent an ALLA by the couple  $(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ . We fix once for all an homogeneous submodule W of the graded  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $S^+(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then, one has the following exact sequence of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules:

$$(14) \qquad 0 \longmapsto \operatorname{Hom}(W, \Delta_{e}\mathfrak{g}) \longmapsto \operatorname{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g}) \longmapsto \operatorname{Hom}(W, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{e}) \longmapsto 0$$

We shall denote by  $W^I$  the subspace of W consisting of homogeneous elements of degree l.

Let  $Z(\Delta_e \mathfrak{g})$  be the centralizer of  $\Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$  in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and let  $R(\Delta_e \mathfrak{g})$  be the radical of the Lie algebra  $\Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$ . Keeping these notations, the main concern of this section is to prove the next statements:

**Theorem 3.** Let (g, e) be a non solvable affinelike Lie algebra. Then, for every positive integer l, the following Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology spaces vanish:

$$H^{2}\left(\mathfrak{g}, Hom\left(W^{l}, \Delta_{e}\mathfrak{g}\right)\right),$$
  
 $H^{2}\left(\mathfrak{g}, Hom\left(W^{l}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{e}\right)\right).$ 

Theorem 4. Let (g, e) be a non solvable affinelike Lie algebra. Then, for every homogeneous submodule V of the graded g-module  $S^+g$ :

$$Ext_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2}\left( V,\mathfrak{g}\right) =0$$

Theorem 5. Every non solvable affinelike Lie algebra is formally (resp. analytically) weakly non degenerate in the sense of Alan Weinstein.

Before stating the proofs of the theorems which are stated above, it is useful to fix some notations. Let  $g_e = R(g_e) + S$  be a fixed Levi decompsition of  $g_e$ . Therefore, the vector space g may be decomposed as follows:

$$g = \Delta_e g + R(g_e) + S$$

Each subspace  $W^l$  is multi-graded as indicated below:

203

$$W^l = \bigoplus W^{t,r,s}$$

where t, r and s are non negative integers such that t + r + s = l and  $W^{t,r,s}$  is a subspace of the vector space:

$$S^{t}(\Delta_{e}\mathfrak{g})\otimes S^{r}(R(\mathfrak{g}_{e}))\otimes S^{s}(S)$$
.

Every vector space  $C^k\left(\mathfrak{g},\operatorname{Hom}\left(W^l,\Delta_e\mathfrak{g}\right)\right)$  is a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module under the prolongation of the adjoint representation. Thus, for each  $a\in\mathfrak{g}$ , the action of a (resp. the inner product by a) is denoted by  $L_{(a)}$  (resp. i(a)). The Chevalley-Eilenberg coboundary operator, namely  $\partial$ , is related to  $L_{(a)}$  by the next Cartan formula:

$$L_{(a)} = \partial i(a) + i(a)\partial$$
.

Let  $\xi \in W^l$ . According to the previous notations, we shall write  $\xi$  as follows:

$$\xi = \sum_{t,r,s} \xi^{t,r,s}$$

It is easy to see that  $L_{(e)}\xi^{t,r,s}=t\xi^{t,r,s}$ . Now, let  $\theta\in Z^2\left(\mathfrak{g},W^l\otimes\Delta_e\mathfrak{g}\right)$ . Following the Cartan formula we get:

$$L_{(e)}\theta = \partial i(e)\theta.$$

Before pursuing, let  $\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}$  be the k-th exterior power of the vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then, we can decompose it as follows:

(15) 
$$\bigwedge^{k} \mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus \bigwedge^{m} \Delta_{e} \mathfrak{g} \wedge \bigwedge^{n} R(\mathfrak{g}_{e}) \wedge \bigwedge^{p} S.$$

- with m + n + p = k. We are now in position to prove theorem 3.
- 5.1. **Proof of theorem 3.** The proof has been divided into two parts. **Part 1**

Step 1

Let  $u, v \in \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\xi^{t,r,s} \in W^{t,r,s}$ . A direct calculation of:

$$((L_{(e)}\theta)(u,v))(\xi^{t,r,s,})$$

yields the next result:

$$\left(\left(L_{(e)}\theta\right)(u,v)\right)\left(\xi^{t,r,s,}\right) = \left(-1+t\right)\left(\theta(u,v)\right)\left(\xi^{t,r,s}\right)$$

For each  $\phi \in W^l \oplus \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$ , the  $W^{t,r,s}$  component of  $\phi$  is denoted by  $\phi^{t,r,s}$ . The calculations made above show that for every 2-cocycle:

$$\theta \in C^2\left(\mathfrak{g}, W^l \oplus \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}\right)$$

one has:

$$(-1+t)\,\theta^{t,r,s}(u,v) = (\partial i(e)\theta)\,(u,v).$$

- From the identity above we deduce that if  $t \neq 1$ , then the map  $(u, v) \mapsto \theta^{t,r,s}(u, v)$  coincides with the boundary of a 1-cochain. Step 2
- Let  $x, x' \in \mathfrak{g}$ . One has the following identity:

$$\left(\left(L_{(e)}\theta\right)(x,x')\right)\left(\xi^{t,r,s}\right)=\left(1+t\right)\left(\theta(x,x')\right)\left(\xi^{t,r,s}\right)$$

Since t is a non negative integer, the map  $(x, x') \mapsto \theta(x, x')$  coincides with the coboundary of a 1-cochain.

Step 3

It remains to examine  $\theta(x,u)$  for  $(x,u) \in \mathfrak{g}_e \oplus \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$ . Let us fix  $\xi^{t,r,s}$  as above. It comes:

$$((L_{(e)}\theta)(x,u))(\xi^{t,r,s}) = t(\theta(x,u))(\xi^{t,r,s}).$$

Using arguments similar to those used in *step* 2, we deduce that if t is a positive integer, then there is a 1-cochain  $\phi(t) \in C^1$  (g, Hom  $(W^l, \Delta_e \mathfrak{g})$ ) such that:

$$\theta(x, u) = \partial \phi(t)(x, u).$$

Step 4

Now, we take into account the decompsition 15. Given a cochain  $\theta \in C^{m+n+p}(\mathfrak{g}, W \otimes \mathfrak{g})$ , its restriction to  $\bigwedge^{m,n,p}\mathfrak{g}$  is denoted by  $\theta_{m,n,p}$ . Therefore, every k-cochain:

$$\theta^k \in C^k\left(\mathfrak{g}, \operatorname{Hom}\left(W^l, \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}\right)\right)$$

is decomposed as follows:

$$\theta^k = \sum_{m+n+p=k} \sum_{t+r+s=l} \theta_{m,n,p}^{t,r,s}$$

In particular, when  $\phi$  is a 2-cocyle, *step 1*, *step 2* and *step 3* tell us that all its components  $\theta_{m,n,p}^{t,r,s}$  are exact, except when t=0 or t=1. So, every cohomology class  $\left[\theta^{l}\right] \in H^{2}\left(\mathfrak{g},\operatorname{Hom}\left(W^{l},\Delta_{e}\mathfrak{g}\right)\right)$  may be represented by a cocycle of the form:

$$\sum_{r+s=l}\theta_{2,0,0}^{1,r,s} + \sum_{n+p=1}\sum_{r+s=l-1}\theta_{1,n,p}^{0,r,s}$$

New, let's consider the map  $\chi: S \to \operatorname{Hom} \left( \Delta_e \mathfrak{g} + R \left( \mathfrak{g}_e \right), S^{0,r,s}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Delta_e \mathfrak{g} \right)$  that maps x to  $\sum_{m+n=1} \sum_{r+s=t-1} i(x) \theta_{m,n,1}^{0,r,s}$ . By virtue of Cartan formula, this map is a cocycle of S. Since S is semi-simple, there exists an element  $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom} \left( \Delta_e \mathfrak{g} + R(\mathfrak{g}_e), S^l(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Delta_e \mathfrak{g} \right)$  whose coboundary is  $\chi$ . Now, we are in position to prove that the cohomology  $\left[ \theta^k \right]$  may be represented by a cocycle of the form:

$$\sum_{r+s=l-1}\theta_{2,0,0}^{1,r,s}+\sum_{r+s=l}\theta_{1,1,0}^{0,r,s}.$$

Indeed, let us consider the cochain  $\mu \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, S^l(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Delta_e \mathfrak{g})$  which is defined by:

$$\mu(x,y)=\phi(y).$$

where  $x \in S$  and  $y \in \Delta_e \mathfrak{g} + R(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ . A direct calculation shows that the component:

$$\sum_{m+n=l} \sum_{r+s=l} \theta_{m,n,1}^{0,r,s}$$

is the coboundary of  $\mu$ . Therefore, the cohomology class of  $\left[\theta^{l}\right]$  may be represented by a cocycle of the form:

(16) 
$$\sum_{r+s=l-1} \theta_{2,0,0}^{1,r,s} + \sum_{r+s=l} \theta_{1,1,0}^{0,r,s}$$

For convenience and without loss of generality, we may assume that the ground field  $\mathbb{K}$  is the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . Fix a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} \subset S$ . To the couple  $(\mathfrak{h},S)$  we assign the roots system  $\mathcal{R}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{R}^p \prime$ ) of the S-module  $\Delta_e \mathfrak{g} + R (\mathfrak{g}_e)$  (resp.  $S^l \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$ ). The elements of  $\mathcal{R}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{R}'$ ) are labelled  $\alpha_j$  (resp.  $\gamma_j$ ). t is to be noticed that the multigraduation that we are using is preserved by the action of  $\mathfrak{g}_e$ . Thus, given a cochain  $\theta$  and a root  $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}'$ , the  $\gamma$ -component of  $\theta$  is denoted by  $\theta^{(\gamma)}$ ). Now, let  $\alpha_i, \alpha_j \in \mathcal{R}$ , and  $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}'$ . Let  $H \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Taking into account those notations and the Cartan formula, one easily deduces from the expression 16 the following identities;

$$\gamma\left(H\right)\theta^{\left(\gamma\right)}\left(x_{\alpha_{i}},x_{\alpha_{i}}\right)=\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)\left(H\right)\theta^{\left(\gamma\right)}\left(\alpha_{i},\alpha_{j}\right).$$

Those identities hold if and only if  $\theta^{(\gamma)} = 0$ ,  $\forall \gamma \in \mathcal{R}'$ . That ends the proof of the vanishing of  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, W \otimes \Delta_e \mathfrak{g})$ .

## Part 2

The concern of Part2 is to prove that  $\forall l>1$ , the cohomology space  $\mathrm{H}^2\left(\mathfrak{g},W^l\otimes\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e\right)$  vanishes. The proof is similar to that in Part 1 and so we shall keep the same notations. Step 1

Let  $\theta$  be a 2-cocycle in  $C^2\left(\mathfrak{g},W^l\otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e\right)$ . Given  $u,v\in\Delta_e\mathfrak{g}$ , using the Cartan formula, a direct calculation of  $\left(\left(L_{(e)}\theta^{t,r,s}\right)\right)(u,v)$  yields:

$$(t-2)\theta^{t,r,s}(u,v) = (\partial i(e)\theta)(u,v).$$

Therefore, we deduce that the quantity  $\theta(u, v)$  coincides with the coboundary of a 1-cochain when  $t \neq 2$ . So, except  $\theta_{2,0,0}^{2,r,s}$ , all of the components  $\theta_{2,0,0}^{t,r,s}$  are exact. Step 2.

Given  $x, x' \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ , one deduces from the identity:

$$((L_{(e)}\theta)(x,x')) = (\partial i(e)\theta) \theta(x,x')$$

276 the following relation:

$$t\theta^{t,r,s}(x,x') = (\partial i(e)\theta)(x,x').$$

- So, if  $t \neq 0$ , the component  $\theta_{0,n,p}^{t,r,s}$  coincides with the component of an exact cocycle. Step 3
- Given  $(x, u) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e \oplus \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$ , we have:

$$(t-1)\theta^{t,r,s}(x,u) = (\partial i(e)\theta)(x,u).$$

Thus, except  $\theta_{1,n,p}^{1,r,s}$  all of the components  $\theta_{1,n,p}^{t,r,s}$  coincides with the restriction of exact cocy-

cle. Now, we can conclude from the three steps above that every cohomology class

$$[\theta] \in \mathrm{H}^2\left(\mathfrak{g}, W^l \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e\right)$$

may be represented by a cocycle of the following form:

$$\theta = \sum_{r+s=l-2} \theta_{2,0,0}^{2,r,s} + \sum_{n+p=1} \sum_{r+s=l-1} \theta_{1,n,p}^{1,r,s} + \sum_{r+s=l} \sum_{n+p=2} \theta_{0,n,p}^{0,r,s}.$$

Now, taking into account this reduced form of  $\theta$ , it is easy to verify that the following

component of  $\theta$ :

$$\phi = \sum_{r+s-l} \theta_{0,0,2}^{0,r,s}$$

is a cocycle of S. Since S is semi-simple, there exists an element  $\chi \in C^1\left(\mathfrak{g},W^l\otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e\right)$ 

whose boundary is  $\phi$ . Thus, we can represent the cohomology class of  $\theta^l$  by:

(17) 
$$\gamma = \sum_{r+s=l-2} \theta_{2,0,0}^{2,r,s} + \sum_{r+s=l-1} \left( \theta_{1,1,0}^{1,r,s} + \theta_{1,0,1}^{1,r,s} \right) + \sum_{r+s=l} \left( \theta_{0,2,0}^{0,r,s} + \theta_{0,1,1}^{0,r,s} \right).$$

Now, we consider the map  $\mu$  (resp.  $\nu$ ) from S to Hom  $(\Delta_e \mathfrak{g}, W \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e)$  (resp. from S to

Hom  $(R\mathfrak{g}_e)$ ,  $W \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e)$ ) which is defined as follows:

$$\mu(x) = \sum_{r+s=l-1} i(x)\theta_{1,0,1}^{1,r,s}$$

288 and:

$$v(x) = \sum_{r+s=l} i(x)\theta_{0,1,1}^{0,r,s}.$$

Both  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are cocycles of the semi-simple Lie algebra S. Thus, it exists  $\xi \in$ 

Hom  $(\Delta_e \mathfrak{g}, W \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e)$  (resp.  $\zeta \in \text{Hom}(R(\mathfrak{g}_e), W \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e)$ ) whose coboundary is  $\mu$  (resp.  $\nu$ ).

Now, let one regard the map  $\eta = \xi + \zeta$  as an element of  $C^1(\mathfrak{g}, W \otimes \mathfrak{g}_e)$  by setting:

$$\eta(u+y+x) = \xi(x) + \zeta(x), \ \forall (u,y,x) \in \Delta_e \mathfrak{g} \oplus R(\mathfrak{g}_e) \oplus S.$$

Now, the cocycle  $\theta' = \gamma - d\eta$ , with  $\gamma$  as in 17, doesn't contain any component of type

293  $\theta_{0,0,p}^{t,r,s}$  with p > 0. Thus, any cohomology class in  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, W^l \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e)$  may be represented by

<sup>294</sup> a cocycle of the following REDUCED form:

$$\theta' = \sum_{r+s=l-1} \theta_{2,0,0}^{1,r,s} + \sum_{r+s=l} \left( \theta_{1,1,0}^{0,r,s} + \theta_{0,2,0}^{0,r,s} \right)$$

Step 4.

We assume again  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ . Therefore, we fix a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} \subset S$ . We label  $\alpha_i$  (resp.

 $\gamma_j$ ) the corresponding roots system of the S-module  $\Delta_e \mathfrak{g} + R(\mathfrak{g}_e)$  ( resp. $\sum_{r+s=l-1} W^{1,r,s} \otimes \mathbb{S}_e$ 

 $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e + \sum_{r+s=l} W^{0,r,s} \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e$ ). Now, let  $\alpha_i, \alpha_{i'}$  and  $\gamma$  be roots. Taking into account the REDUCED form  $\theta'$  of 2-cocycles, we denote by  $\theta'_{(\gamma)}$  the  $\gamma$ -component of  $\theta'$ . Then the Cartan formula implies the following identities:

$$\gamma(H)\theta'_{(\gamma)}\left(u_{\alpha_i},u_{\alpha_{i'}}\right) = (\alpha_i + \alpha_{i'})(H)\theta'_{(\gamma)}\left(u_{\alpha_i},u_{\alpha_{i'}}\right), \, \forall \alpha_i,\alpha_{i'},\gamma.$$

Of course, those identities hold if and only if each  $\theta'_{(\gamma)}$  vanishes identically.

5.2. **Proof of theorem 4.** The notations used will be the ones previously defined. Fix an homogeneous submodule  $W \subseteq S^+g$  and let k be a non negative integer. Owning to Remark 303 3, we have:

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{k}\left(W,F\right)=\bigoplus_{l}\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{k}\left(W^{l},F\right)$$

where l runs over the set of positive integers and  $F \in \{\Delta_e \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e\}$ . On one hand, (12) gives rise the classical long cohomology exact sequence. In particular, the following sequence is exact at the level  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \operatorname{Hom}(W^l, \mathfrak{g}))$ :

$$H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \operatorname{Hom}(W, \Delta_e \mathfrak{g})) \longmapsto H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \operatorname{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g})) \longmapsto H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \operatorname{Hom}(W, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e))$$

On the other hand, if F is a finite dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, then we have the following classical linear isomorphisms:

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{k}\left(W^{l},F\right) \sim \operatorname{H}^{k}\left(\mathfrak{g},\operatorname{Hom}\left(W^{l},F\right)\right)$$

Thus, owing to Theorem (3), the exact sequence above yields

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2}\left(W,\mathfrak{g}\right)$$

5.3. **Proof of theorem 5.** Before proceeding, let us recall the meaning of Theorem (5). Let  $\Pi$  be a smooth Poisson tensor defined in a m-dimensional smooth manifold M. Theorem (5) expresses a local property of Lie algebroids given by Poisson structures (near to their singularities). Thus, we shall suppose that M is an open neighborhood of the origin of  $\mathbb{K}^m$ . Let us suppose thus that  $\Pi$  vanishes at the origin 0 of  $\mathbb{K}^m$ . Let:

$$\check{\Pi} = \sum_I \Pi^I$$

be the Taylor expansion at 0 of  $\Pi$ , where all of the I are multi-indices, namely I=  $(i_1,\ldots,i_m)$ . Let  $\Pi^1$  be the linear part of  $\Pi$ . Then,  $\Pi^1$  defines a Lie algebra structure in the vector space of linear functions. The Taylor expansion at 0 of  $\Pi$  is regarded as a formal deformation of  $\Pi^1$ . In fact, we have three Poisson structures in a small neighborhood of 0, namely, the smooth structure  $\Pi$ , the formal structure  $\Pi$  and the linear structure  $\Pi^1$ . So, finally, the question boils down to know whether  $\Pi$  (resp.  $\Pi$ ) is smoothly (resp. formally) isomorphic to  $\Pi^1$ .

We can now proceed to the proof of theorem 5.

Proof. Given a multi-index  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_p)$ , we shall set:

$$l(I) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} i_j$$

Let us denote by  $\mathfrak{g}$  the Lie algebra defined by  $\Pi^1$ . Then, each homogeneous component  $\Pi^I$  of the Taylor expansion at 0 of  $\Pi$  is an element of  $C^2(\mathfrak{g}, S^{I(I)\mathfrak{g}})$ . Let us consider the Lie algebroid structure:

$$A_{\pi} = (T^*M, \natural)$$

which is defined by  $(M,\Pi)$ . Let us recall the following facts. Firstly, the anchor map  $\natural$  is the vector bundle map defined by:

$$\natural(\alpha) = i(\alpha)\Pi.$$

Secondly, given 2 smooth sections of  $T^*M$ , say  $\alpha, \beta$  their bracket is defined by:

$$[\alpha, \beta]_{\natural} = i_{\natural \alpha} d\beta - i_{\natural \beta} d\alpha + di_{\Pi} \alpha \wedge \beta.$$

Thus, one easily sees that a Poisson tensor and the associated Lie algebroid have the same singularities and the same linear part at their singular points. Let  $\check{A}_{\pi}$ , be the Taylor expansion at 0 of the anchor map  $\natural$ . It may be regarded as a formal deformation of the linear Lie algebroid:

$$g = (T_0^* M, \Pi^1) \times T_0 M$$

Now,  $\tilde{x}$  let be a smooth vector field defined near 0, let  $\tilde{\alpha}$  be a smooth section of  $T^*M$ . Let us set:

$$\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}(0)$$

336 and:

$$x = \tilde{x}(0)$$

Then, the expression:

$$\rho(\alpha)x = [\natural(\tilde{\alpha}), \tilde{x}](0)$$

is well defined. It is easy to verify that:

$$\rho\left([\alpha,\beta]_{\natural}\right) = \left[\rho(\alpha),\rho(\beta)\right].$$

Therefore, the dual vector space:

$$g^* = T_0 M$$

is a g-module, but the action of g is not the coadjoint action. The (graded) g-module structure of  $S^+ \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*$  is inherited from the tensor product  $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \rho$ . Moreover, the coefficients of the Taylor expansion at 0 of  $\natural$  may be regarded as elements of the Spencer prolongations of the linear subalgebra  $\rho(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(T_0) \subset \ldots$ , [17]. From this viewpoint, the algebroid  $(T^*M, \natural)$  is formally linearizable at 0 if the first prolongation of  $\rho(\mathfrak{g})$  is zero. So it is the case when  $\rho(\mathfrak{g})$  is compact or when the fundamental form

$$B_{\rho}(\alpha, \beta) = \text{Tr}(\rho(\alpha)\rho(\beta))$$

is non degenerate, [17]. What is just said above is nothing but the algebraic deformation theoretic point of view applied to the linearization problem for the anchor map  $\natural$ . We can also examine the linearization of  $(T^*M, \natural)$  from the abstract algebra viewpoint. Indeed, let us denote by  $\Omega(M)$  the vector space of smooth sections of  $T^*M$ . For every non negative integer 1, we set:

$$\omega^{(l)} = \{ \alpha \in \Omega(M) | j_0^l \alpha = 0 \}$$

Every  $\Omega^{(l)}$  is an ideal of the Lie algebra structure associated to the Lie algebroid  $(T^*M, \natural)$ .

Therefore, we get a natural filtration:

$$\Omega(M) \supset \Omega^{(1)}(M) \supset \Omega^{(2)}(M) \supset \dots$$

From now on, we shall keep in mind this filtered Lie algebra structure in  $\Omega(M)$ , [19]. It is easily seen that the quotient space  $\Omega^{(l)}/\Omega^{(l+1)}$  may be canonically identified with:

$$S^l T_0^* M \otimes T_0^* M = \operatorname{Hom} \left( S^l T_0 M, T_0^* M \right).$$

Now, let us denote by grd  $\Omega$  the graded Lie algebra whose l-th homogeneous subspace is:

grd 
$$^{l}\Omega = \Omega^{(l)}/\Omega^{(l+1)}$$
.

In fact, the positive part of grd  $\Omega$ , namely:

$$\operatorname{grd} {}^{+}\Omega = \bigoplus_{l>1} \Omega^{(l)}/\Omega^{(l+1)}$$

is an ideal of grd  $\Omega$ . Moreover, the quotient Lie algebra:

grd 
$$\Omega$$
/grd  $^+\omega$ 

is nothing but the Lie algebra:

$$(T_0^*M, [.,.]_{\natural}) = (T_0^*M, \Pi^1).$$

So, we have the following exact sequence of Lie algebras

(18) 
$$0 \to \operatorname{grd}^+\Omega \to \operatorname{grd}\Omega \to \left(T_0^*M, [.,.]_{\natural}\right) \to 0$$

The problem to know whether the sequence above (Lie) splits is the formal linearization problem for the Poisson structure  $(M,\Pi)$ , see [27]. The study of this problem involves the cohomology space  $H^2(\mathfrak{g},\operatorname{grd}^+\Omega)$ , [23, 25]. So, by the virtue of Theorem (4), the exact sequence of Lie algebras 18 splits. In other words, there exists a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\varrho$  from  $\left(T_0^*M,[.,.]_{\natural}\right)$  to the formal Poisson algebra  $\left(j_0^\infty\left(C^\infty(M,\mathbb{R})\right),[.,.]\right)$  such that  $d_0\varrho(\lambda)=\lambda,\ \forall\lambda\in T_0^*M$ . Of course, the bracket on power series is induced by the Poisson structure  $(M,\Pi)$ , viz:

$$\left[j_0^{\infty}f, j_0^{\infty}g\right] = j_0^{\infty}\left(\Pi(f,g)\right)$$

Since  $\Pi(f,h)$  depends only on the differential of the both f and h, we may restrict our attention to the set  $C_0^{\infty}(M,\mathbb{R})$  of real valued smooth or analytic functions which vanish at the point  $0 \in M$ . The differentiation at  $0 \in M$ , say  $d_0$ , induces a Lie algebra homomorphism from  $C_0^{\infty}(M,\mathbb{R})$  onto  $\left(T_0^*M,[.,.]_{\natural}\right)$ . So, the smooth or analytic linearization problem for  $\Pi$  is to know whether the following exact sequence of Lie algebra splits:

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(d_0) \longrightarrow C_0^{\infty}(M,\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \left(T_0^*M,[.,.]_{\natural}\right) \longrightarrow 0$$

On the other side, from the deformation theory viewpoint, a sufficient condition for the formal linearization of  $(T^*M, \natural)$  (resp.  $\Pi$ ) is the vanishing of the cohomology space  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \operatorname{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g}))$  (resp.  $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, W^*)$ ) for every homogeneous submodule W of the graded  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $S^+(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ . Now, let us suppose the Lie algebra:

$$g = (T_0^* M, \Pi^1)$$

to have an affinelike Lie algebra structure, namely  $(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ . Let us denote by  $\mathfrak{g}_f$  the formal deformation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  defined by the Taylor expansion at 0 of the anchor map  $\natural$ . Its bracket is in a basis  $(dx_1, \ldots, dx_m)$  is defined as follows:

$$[dx_i, dx_j] = \sum_{k} \left( \sum_{I} c_{ij}^{I,k}(0) x^I \right) dx_k$$

Where  $c_{ij}^{I,k}(0)$  is regarded as an element of Hom  $(S^{l(I)}\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathfrak{g})$ . Keeping the notations of the previous sections, we deduce from Theorem (4) that

$$H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g})) = 0.$$

Thus, the formal Lie algebra structure  $\mathfrak{g}_f$  is formally isomorphic to its linear part, namely  $(T_0^*M,\Pi^1)$ 

Remark 4. When the Lie algebra  $(T^*M, \natural)$  is an affinelike Lie algebra, it is more relevant 383 to deal with the splitting problem of 18 rather than to deal with formal diffeomorphisms as 384 Conn (resp. Nguyen Tien Zung) does in the case where g is semi-simple. Indeed, when g 385 is semi-simple, one has Casimir elements which permit to construct an explicit homotopy 386 operator. That operator yields an explicit formal diffeomorphism linearizing formally the Poisson structure. If g is an non solvable affinelike Lie algebra, one proceeds as it follows. 388 For every non negative integer k, let  $S_k = S_k^{\infty}(M,\mathbb{R})$  be the set of formal power series 389 whose orders are at least equal to k + 1. Every  $S_k$  is an ideal of the Lie algebra  $S_0$  and the 390 quotient Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_k = S_0/S_k$  may be identified with the vector space  $\sum_{1 \le i \le k} S^j(\mathfrak{g})$ . In particular one has  $g_0 = g$ . Moreover, one has the following exact sequence of Lie algebras: 392

$$0 \longrightarrow S^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_k \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{k-1} \longrightarrow 0$$

If j < k, the canonical projection of  $\mathfrak{g}_k$  onto  $\mathfrak{g}_j$  is denoted by  $p_{jk}$ . It is easily seen that  $p_{ij}p_{jk} = p_{ik}$ . Thereby, one has the projective system  $(\mathfrak{g}_k, p_{jk})$ . In particular the following exact sequence of Lie algebras splits:

$$0 \longrightarrow S^2(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0$$

Thus, one constructs inductively an Lie algebra monomorphism  $\varrho_j \colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}_j$  such that for j < k, one has  $p_{jk}\varrho_k = \varrho_j$ . Those considerations yield a Lie algebra monomorphism  $\varrho$  from  $\mathfrak{g}$  to the inverse limit of  $(\mathfrak{g}_k, p_{jk})$ , which is  $j_0^\infty\left(C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})\right)$ . That is nothing but the formal linearization of the Poisson structure, [27].

Now, suppose the Poisson tensor  $\Pi$  to be analytic. Then, the corresponding Lie algebroid is analytic as well. If  $\mathfrak{g}=(T_0^*M,[.,.])$  is semi-simple or if  $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{n,1}$ , then the analytic counterparts of Theorem 5 are nothing but Conn's linearization theorem of analytic Poisson structure, [9,10,22] and the recent analytic non degeneracy theorem for  $\mathfrak{aff}(n)$  by Jean-Paul Dufour and Nguyen Tien Zung, [13]. If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an affinelike Lie algebra, we know how to construct a Lie algebra monomorphism from  $\mathfrak{g}$  to  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Indeed, let:

$$\sigma \colon \mathfrak{g} \mapsto \mathfrak{g}_1$$

be a linear monomorphism such that  $p_{01}\sigma$  is the identity endomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; the bilinear map:

$$\omega(a,b) = \sigma([a,b]) - [\sigma(a),\sigma(b)], a,b \in \mathfrak{g}$$

is a  $S^2(\mathfrak{g})$ -valued 2-cocyle of  $\mathfrak{g}$  whose cohomology class doesn't depend on the choice of  $\sigma$ . On one side, the linear map:

$$\bar{\omega}(a) = (a - \sigma(p_{01}(a))) + p_{01}(a)$$

is a Lie algebra isomorphism from  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  onto the semi direct product  $S^2(\mathfrak{g}) \ltimes \mathfrak{g}$ . On the other side, there is an element  $\theta \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, S^2(\mathfrak{g}))$  such that:

$$\omega(a,b) = \left[\sigma(a),\theta(b)\right] - \left[\sigma(b),\theta(a)\right] - \theta\left(\left[a,b\right]\right).$$

The map  $\varrho_1$  assigning to every  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$  the element  $\varrho_1(a) = \theta(a) + a$  is an Lie algebra monomorphism from  $\mathfrak{g}$  to the semi-direct product  $S^2(\mathfrak{g}) \ltimes \mathfrak{g}$ . What is just done is nothing but the first step yielding a Lie algebra monomorphism  $\varrho$  from  $\mathfrak{g}$  to  $j^{\infty}\left(C_0^{\infty}(M,\mathbb{R})\right)$ . Thus,

let  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  be a basis of g; let  $c_{ij}^k$  be the structure constants of g in the basis  $(x_i)_{i=1...n}$ ,

$$\left[x_i, x_j\right] = \sum_k c_{ij}^k x_k$$

then one has also:

$$\left[\varrho(x_i),\varrho(x_j)\right] = \sum_k c_{ij}^k \varrho(x_k)$$

So, the analytic linearization problem is to prove that one can choose the basic data  $\sigma$  and  $\theta$  to make sure that the formal series  $\varrho(x_1), \ldots, \varrho(x_n)$  are convergent. By the virtue of Conn's theorem (resp. Dufour-Nguen tien Zung's Theorem) such data can be chosen whenever  $\mathfrak{q}$  is semi-simple (resp.  $\mathfrak{q}$  is the affine Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{aff}(n)$ ).

*Remark* 5. We conjecture that the same conclusion holds for non solvable affinelike Lie algebras.

Finally, as a by product, we have the proof of theorem 1. In fact, this is the Poisson counterpart of Theorem 5. Mutatis mutandis, the same arguments used in the proofs of Theorem 5 yield:

$$H^2(\mathfrak{g}, S^+(\mathfrak{g})) = 0$$

for every non solvable affinelike Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ . Therefore, such an affinelike Lie algebra is formally nondegenerate. Let us now give the proof of Theorem 2.

5.4. **Prof of 2.** We have already proved the equality:

$$H^{2}(\mathfrak{g},\mathbb{K})=H^{2}(\Delta\mathfrak{g}_{e},\mathbb{K})$$

We denote the radical of  $\Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$  by  $R(\Delta \mathfrak{g}_e)$ . Lyndon-Hocschild-Serre spectral sequence yields:

$$H^{2}(\Delta \mathfrak{g}_{e}, \mathbb{R}) = H^{0}(\Delta \mathfrak{g}_{e}, H^{2}(R(\Delta \mathfrak{g}_{e}), \mathbb{R}))$$

Without loss of generality, we may suppose the ground field to be the field of complex numbers. Let  $S \subset \Delta \mathfrak{g}_e$  a Levi sub-algebra of  $\Delta \mathfrak{g}_e$ , and let  $\mathfrak{h} \subset S$  be a Cartan sub-algebra. Let  $\alpha$  be a weigh of the S-module  $R(\Delta \mathfrak{g}_e)$  and let us denote by  $r_\alpha \in R(\Delta \mathfrak{g}_e)$  be a non zero element such that  $[H, r_\alpha] = \alpha(H)r_\alpha$ ,  $\forall H \in \mathfrak{h}$ , It is a straight consequence of (alla 3) that  $R(\Delta \mathfrak{g}_e)$  is spanned by the elements  $r_\alpha$ . Thus, every cohomology class  $[\theta] \in H^0\left(\Delta \mathfrak{g}_e, H^2\left(R\left(\Delta \mathfrak{g}_e\right), \mathbb{R}\right)\right)$  may be represented by a cocycle  $\theta \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(R(\Delta \mathfrak{g}_e), \mathbb{R}\right)$  such that  $\forall H \in \mathfrak{h}, L_H \theta$  is the differential of a  $\phi_H \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(R(\Delta \mathfrak{g}_e), \mathbb{R}\right)$ . So, given two elements  $r_\alpha, r_{\alpha'}$  as defined above, one has:

$$(\alpha + \alpha')(H)\theta(r_{\alpha}, r_{\alpha'}) = \phi_H([r_{\alpha}, r_{\alpha'}]).$$

Since  $R(\Delta \mathfrak{g}_e)$  is commutative, the last identity implies the following one:

$$(\alpha + \alpha')(H)\theta(r_{\alpha}, r_{\alpha'}) = 0.$$

Thus we see that  $H^2(R(\Delta \mathfrak{g}_e), \mathbb{R}) = 0$ . So, if  $\omega$  is a left invariant symplectic form on G, then  $\omega$  is the differential of a left invariant 1-form  $\theta$  Thereby, the orbit of  $\theta$  under the coadjoint action of G is an open set in the dual vector space  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . If the ground field  $\mathbb{K}$  is the field of

complex numbers, then  $\mathrm{Ad}^*G(\theta)$  is the complement of an algebraic variety. Indeed, given an element  $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , let  $x_{\eta}$ , be the element of  $\mathfrak{g}$  defined by:

$$i(x_n)\omega = \eta$$
.

Let  $\theta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , let us define the linear endomorphism  $\Phi_{\theta}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  by setting:

$$\Phi_{\theta}(\eta) = \operatorname{ad}^*(x_n \theta).$$

- The orbit of  $\theta$  is an open set in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  if and only if  $\Phi_{\theta}$  is injective; in this case,  $\mathrm{Ad}^*G(\theta)$  is an open and dense orbit. Since we assumed the ground field to be  $\mathbb{C}$ , it is unique.
- Theorem 2 yields the following statement.
- **Theorem 6.** Let (n, m) be a pair of positive integers. If n > 2, then one has:

$$H^2\left(\mathfrak{g}_{n,m},\mathbb{R}\right)=0$$

In particular, ([24]) those of the  $g_{n,m}$  which admit symplectic forms are Frobenius algebras.

- This section will be devoted to a relevant application of Theorem 4. To begin with, let us recall the following normal forms theorem of Jean-Paul Dufour, [12] ( see also
- 455 [2, 5–7, 20]).
- Theorem 7. DUF2 Let (A, a) be a Lie algebroid of rank n over a smooth m-dimensional manifold M. Let r be the rank of the anchor map a at the point  $p \in M$ . Then, on an open neighborhood U of p, there exist:
- (1) A basis of local sections of A, say  $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{n-r}\}$ ,
- (2) A system of local coordinate functions on M, say  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r, y_1, \ldots, y_{m-r}\}$
- Such that the next conditions are satisfied for any j = 1, ..., r; k, l = 1, ..., n r:
- i)  $a(\sigma_j) = \partial x_j$  and  $a(\tau_k)(p) = 0$ ,
- 463 *ii)*  $a(\tau_k)$  doesn't depend on  $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ ,
- 464  $iii) [\sigma_i, \tau_k] = 0,$
- *iv)*  $[\tau_k, \tau_l] = \sum_{\nu} c_{kl}^{\nu} \tau_{\nu}$ , the functions  $c_{kl}^{\nu}$  depending only on  $y_1, \dots, y_{m-r}$ .
- 466 In [6], R. Wolak and the author given an another proof of Theorem 7 which works for
- 467 Koszul-Vinberg algebroids as well. Both proofs in [12] and in [6] are based in differential
- geometry arguments. The author intends to read the theorem of J-P Dufour from the sheaf
- theoretic point of view. Really, Theorem 7 is different from the local decomposition of
- 470 Poisson manifolds, [26].
- Let (A, a) be a smooth or analytic algebroid over a smooth or analytic manifold M. Let us
- denote by  $\Gamma(A)$  (resp.  $\Gamma(TM)$ ) the sheaf of local smooth sections of A (resp. TM). Because
- the anchor map a may have non constant rank, the following exact sequence:

$$\ker(a) \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow TM$$

is not a sequence a vector bundles over M. However, the anchor map induces a sheaf homomorphism:

$$\Gamma(A) \to \Gamma(TM)$$

which is still denoted by a. The kernel of the last sheaf homomorphism is a sub-sheaf of  $\Gamma(A)$ , denoted  $\operatorname{Ker}(a)$ . It is not locally trivial. However,  $\Gamma(A)$  is a sheaf of  $\operatorname{Ker}(a)$ -modules. Let  $\tau \in \Gamma(A), X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Setting  $\tau.X = [a(\tau), X]$  makes  $\Gamma(TM)$  inherit the trivial  $\operatorname{Ker}(a)$ -module structure. So, one points out the following exact sequence of  $\operatorname{Ker}(a)$ -modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(a) \longrightarrow \Gamma(A) \longrightarrow a(\Gamma(A)) \longrightarrow 0$$

Now, let us point out the following observation. The algebraic counterpart of Theorem 7 is that the cohomology class in  $H^1$  (Ker(a), Hom  $(a (\Gamma(A)), \text{Ker}(a))$ ) whichis represented by the extension above is zero. So, in some particular cases, that algebraic counterpart of Theorem 7 can be proved directly. In the next, we intend to give such a proof for stratified a-algebroids.Let us consider the Leibniz axiom of (A, a). Then, given two sections s, s' of A and a smooth function f, one has:

$$[s, fs'] = f[s, s'] + (a(s)f)s'.$$

It is a straight consequence of the identity above that the bracket of sections of *A* induces a Lie algebra structure on the vector space:

$$\ker(a)(p) = \operatorname{span}\{\tau_1(p), \dots, \tau_{n-r}(p)\}\$$

Definition 7. A lie algebroid (A, a) over a smooth manifold M is called a-algebroid (resp. non solvable a-algebroid) if  $\forall p \in M, ker(a)(p)$ ) is an affinelike Lie algebra (resp. non solvable affinelike Lie algebra).

Our aim is to show how in the case of non solvable a-algebroids, one can use the vanishing theorems of Section 5 to supply an algebraic proof of Theorem 7. Now, let (A, a) be an a-algebroid over the manifold M; Forall  $p \in M$ , the bracket of sections of A induces an affinelike Lie algebra structure on  $\ker(a)(p)$ . Let  $r(p) = \operatorname{rank}(a(p))$ . We put:

$$r_1(a) = \max_p(r(p)).$$

The singular points of (A, a) are elements of the subset  $\Sigma(a)$  which consists of those  $p \in M$ where  $r(p) < r_1$ . Of course  $M - \Sigma(a)$  is a open subset of M. Let  $p \in M - \Sigma(a)$  and let  $V \subset M - \Sigma(a)$  be an connected open neighborhood of p. Then, over the sub-manifold V, we get the following exact sequence of vector bundles:

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(a) \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow a(A) \longrightarrow 0$$

On one side, the sub-vector bundle  $a(A) \subset TV$  defines a regular foliation on V. On the other side, ker(a) is a locally trivial bundle (over V) of non solvable affinelike Lie algebras. Indeed, we know that  $\forall p \in V$  one has:

$$H^{2}(\ker(a)(p), \ker(a)(p)) = 0.$$

So, all of the Lie algebras ker(a)(p) are rigid, [3,23]. Thus,  $\forall p, p' \in V$ , the Lie algebras ker(a)(p) and ker(a)(p') are isomorphic. Over the open set V, the action of  $Ker(a) = \Gamma(ker(a))$  on X(V) is trivial. Re-stricting ourself to V, we regard a(A) and TV as bundles of Ker(a)-modules. For our purpose, we shall need the following result.

Theorem 8. If T is a trivial module of a non solvable affinelike Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g},e)$ , then  $Ext^1_{\Delta\mathfrak{g}}(T,\mathfrak{g})=0$ .

*Proof.* Keeping the notations of section 2, we consider the following exact sequence of  $\Delta_{\varphi}$ -modules:

(19) 
$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(T, \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(T, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(T, \mathfrak{g}/\Delta \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow 0$$
  
Step 1.

Let us show that:

$$H^1(\Delta \mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(T, \Delta \mathfrak{g})) = 0.$$

- To do that, we assume again the ground field to be the field of complex numbers. Let us fix
- a Levi subalgebra  $S([\mathfrak{g}_e,\mathfrak{g}_e])$  and a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}\subset S$ . To  $(\mathfrak{h},S)$  we shall also assign
- a fixed weights system  $\{\alpha, \beta, \dots\}$  (for the S-module  $\Delta \mathfrak{g}$ ). Let  $\theta \in \text{Hom}(\Delta \mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(T, \Delta \mathfrak{g}))$
- be a cocycle of  $\Delta g$ . There exists an element  $\xi \in \text{Hom}(T, \Delta g)$  such that  $\forall s \in S, \forall t \in T$ , one
- 516

$$(\theta(s))(t) = (L_s \xi)(t) = [s, \xi(t)].$$

Now, let  $(\alpha, \beta)$  be a couple of weights of the S-module  $\Delta g$  and let  $r_{\alpha}$  be a non zero element 517 of  $\Delta \mathfrak{g}$  whose weight is  $\alpha$ . Pour tout  $t \in T$  et  $H \in \mathfrak{h}$ , we have:

$$[H, (\theta(r_{\alpha}))(t)][r_{\alpha}, (\theta(H))(t)] = (\theta([H, r_{\alpha}]))(t).$$

Let us compute the  $\beta$ -component of the identity just set. The calculation yields the following 519 520

$$(\beta - \alpha) \theta_b(r_\alpha) = [r_\alpha, (\theta(H))(t)]_\beta = [r_\alpha, [H, (\xi(t))_{\beta - \alpha}]] = (\beta - \alpha)(H) [r_\alpha, \xi_{\beta - \alpha}(t)]$$

The last identity will hold if and only if  $\forall \alpha, \forall t \in T$ , one has:

$$\theta(r_{\alpha})(t) = [r_{\alpha}, \xi(t)].$$

So we have to conclude that:

$$H^1(\Delta \mathfrak{g}, \operatorname{Hom}(T, \Delta \mathfrak{g})) = 0.$$

Step 2.

- It is convenient now to observe that the structure of  $\Delta g$ -module of  $\operatorname{Hom}(T, \mathfrak{g}, \Delta \mathfrak{g})$  is
- trivial. Thus, we can identify  $H^1(\Delta \mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(T, \mathfrak{g}/\Delta \mathfrak{g}))$  with  $(H^1(\Delta \mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{K}))^{\dim T}$ . Since the Lie algebra  $\Delta g$  is perfect,  $H^1(\Delta g, \mathbb{K}) = 0$ . Taking into account both Step 1 and Step2
- above, we deduce from the exact cohomology sequence given by the exact sequence 19 that

$$H^1(\Delta \mathfrak{g}, \operatorname{Hom}(T, \mathfrak{g})) = 0.$$

An algebraic proof of theorem 7

*Proof.* Let us keep the notations already used above. Let us fix a connected open set  $V \subset M - \Sigma(a)$ . From the sheaf theoretic point of view, one is interested in the following sheaf cohomology:

$$H^1$$
 ( $\Delta Ker(a)$ ,  $\Gamma$  ( $Hom(a(A), Ker(a))$ )).

By taking into account Theorem 8, one sees that:

$$H^1(\Delta Ker(a), \Gamma(Hom(a(A), Ker(a)))) = 0.$$

In other words, one has:

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{\Delta \operatorname{Ker}(a)}^{1}\left(\left(a\left(\Gamma(A)\right),\operatorname{Ker}(a)\right)\right)=0.$$

Thereby, the following exact sequence of  $\Delta\Gamma(ker(a))$ - modules splits:

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(a) \longrightarrow \Gamma(A) \longrightarrow a(\Gamma(A)) \longrightarrow 0$$

The last assertion means that every point  $p \in V$  has an open neighborhood U on which the following claims hold:

(a) It exists a partial basis of local sections of A, say  $(\sigma_i)_{i=1...r}$ , such that:

$$\forall p \in U$$
, rank $\{a(\sigma_1)(p), \ldots, a(\sigma_r)(p)\} = r$ ;

(a) It exists a basis of local sections of  $\Delta \text{Ker}(a)$ , say  $(\tau_j)_{j=1...n-r-1}$  satisfying:

$$\left[\sigma_i,\tau_j\right]=0.$$

To yield the normal forms theorem of [12], let us assume the domain U of  $(\sigma_i, \tau_j)$  to be also a domain of local coordinate functions of V, say  $(x_i, y_l)_{i=1...r, l=1...-r}$  such that the connected components of the leaves of a(A) which are included in U are defined by the following systems:

$$y_l = \text{cte}, \ l = 1 \dots m - r$$

Thereby, one can choose the local basis  $(\sigma_i)$  such that:

$$a(\sigma_i) = \partial x_i, i = 1 \dots r.$$

The last conditions imply that:

536

537

$$[\sigma_i, \sigma_{i'} = 0]$$
.

Therefore, the property  $[]\sigma_i, \tau_l] = 0$  implies that:

$$[\tau_l, \tau_{l'}] = \sum_{l''} c_{ll'}^{l''}(y_1, \dots, y_{m-r}) \tau_{l''}.$$

To end the "algebraic" proof of Theorem 7, let us remind that all of the fibers of  $(\ker(a))(p), p \in V$ , are isomorphic to a fixed non solvable affinelike Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ . So,  $\operatorname{Ker}(a)$  may be regarded as a  $(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ -Current algebra over V, [16]. Now, to end the proof, let us assume that U is also a domain of trivialization of (A, a) as well. So, as vector bundle over U,  $\operatorname{ker}(a)$  isomorphic to  $Ux(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ . Let  $\tau_0$  be the section of A defined by:

$$\tau_0(p) = (p, e), \forall p \in U.$$

Now, to every couple  $(u, s) \in \Delta_e \mathfrak{g} \times S$ , we assign the couple  $(v, \tau)$  of sections of A which is defined by:

$$v(p) = (p, u)$$
$$\tau(p) = (p, s)$$

Actually, one easily sees that for  $i=1\ldots r, \, [\tau_0,\sigma_i]\in \Gamma(\ker(a))$ . On one hand, the identity:

$$[\tau, [\tau_0, \sigma_i]] = 0$$

shows that  $[\tau_0, \alpha_i] \in \Delta \text{Ker}(a)$ . On the other hand, the identity:

$$[\tau, [\tau_0, \sigma_i]] = 0$$

shows that  $\forall p \in V$ ,  $[\tau_0, \sigma_i](p) \in H^0(S, \Delta \mathfrak{g})$ . Since  $(\mathfrak{g}, e)$  is non solvable, one has to conclude that:

$$[\tau_0, \sigma_i] = 0.$$

555 Thus, the system:

$$\{\tau_l, \sigma_i\}_{l=0...n-r, i=1...r}$$

587

588

589

590 591

592

595

is a basis of local sections of A satisfying the properties required in Theorem 7.To get the general case, let us assume that the a-algebroid (A, a) is stratified in following sense. The manifold M admits a filtration:

$$M = \Sigma_0 \supset \Sigma_1 \supset \cdots \supset \Sigma_i \supset \ldots$$

with the next property. Let  $(A_i, a_i;)$  be the pull back of (A, a) by the inclusion map of  $\Sigma_i$  in M. Then:

(Str 1)  $\Sigma_{i=1}$  consists of singular points of  $(A_i, a_i)$ .

(Str 2) The family  $\Sigma_i - \Sigma_{i+1}$  is locally finite.

Claim (Str 1) implies that  $a_i(A_i)$  defines a regular foliation on  $\Sigma_i - \Sigma_{i+1}$ . Our algebraic proof of Theorem 7 works for the restriction  $\Sigma_i - \Sigma_{i+1}$  of  $(A_i, a_i)$ . Actually all of the  $\Sigma_i$  are closed sub-manifolds of M. Let  $d_i$  be the dimension of  $\sigma_i$ . Every point of M has an open neighborood U which is the domaine of local coordinate functions of M, say  $(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$  such that the connected components of  $U \cap \Sigma_i$  are defined by the system

$$x_{d_{i+1}} = \text{cte}, \dots, x_m = \text{cte}.$$

The last assertion yields Theorem 7 for stratified a-algebroid.

Let us make the following observations. Firstly, a Lie algebroid (A, a) over the manifold M is called s — algebroid if  $\forall p \in M$ ,  $\ker(a)(p)$  is a semi-simple Lie algebra. Now, we observe that all of the cohomology arguments which are used in the algebraic proof of Theorem 7 work for s-algebroids. Secondly, R. Wolak and the author have proved the analogue to Theorem 7 for Koszul-Vinberg algebroids, [6]. Our analytic proof of normal forms theorem for Koszul-Vinberg algebroids might have its algebraic counterpart in KV-cohomolgy [3–5].

### 7. Conlusion

In information geometry, the divergence function defines a regular Poisson structure in the 577 neighborood of the diagonal, which becomes a Lagragian sub-manifold for it. Poisson 578 tensors are also encountered when dealing with antisymmetric (1, 1)-tensors satisfying a 579 gauge equation, as indicated in the introduction. It it thus relevant to study such strutures, 580 581 especially from the standing viewpoint of linearization. Within this frame, as pointed out by A. Weinstein, understanding the question of degeneracy of Lie algebra is a key ingredient. 582 Our treatment of the problem makes use of cohomological machineries culminating in 583 theorem 5 stating that any non solvable affinelike Lie algebra is non-degenerate. This result 584 is part of a larger program for understanding Poisson structures in information geometry, 585 that will be adressed in future works. 586

### References

- A.Medina and P. Revoy. Groupes de lie à structure symplectique invariante. In Pierre Dazord and Alan Weinstein, editors, Symplectic Geometry, Groupoids, and Integrable Systems, pages 247–266, New York, NY, 1991. Springer US.
- [2] M. Bauer. Feuilletage singulier défini par une distribution presque régulière. Collectanea Mathematica, 37:189–209, 1986.
- [3] M.N. Boyom. Cohomology of koszul-vinberg algebroids and poisson manifolds, i. Banach Center Publications, 54:99–110, 2001.
  - [4] M.N. Boyom. The cohomology of koszul-vinberg algebras. https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0202259v1, 2002.
- 596 [5] M.N. Boyom and R. Wolak. Affine structures and kv-cohomology. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 597 42:307–317, 2002.

- 598 [6] M.N. Boyom and R. Wolak. Local structure of koszul-vinberg and of lie algebroids. *Bulletin Des Sciences Mathematiques*, 128:467–479, 2004.
- [7] D. Cerveau. Distributions involutives singulières. Annales de l'Institut Fourier, 29:261–294, 1979.
- [8] C. Chevalley and S. Eilenberg. Cohomology theory of lie groups and lie algebras. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 63:85–124, 1948.
  - [9] J. F. Conn. Normal forms for analytic poisson structures. *Annals of Mathematics*, 119:433, 1984.
- [10] J. F. Conn. Normal forms for smooth poisson structures. Annals of Mathematics, 121:565–593, 1985.
- [11] P. Dazord and D. Sondaz. Chapitre I Variétés de Poisson Algébroïdes de Lie. Publications du Département
   de mathématiques (Lyon), (1B):1–68, 1988.
- [12] J. P. Dufour. Normal forms for lie algebroids. Banach Center Publications, 54:35–41, 2001.
- 608 [13] J. P. Dufour and T.Z. Nguyen. Nondegeneracy of the lie algebra aff(n). *Comptes Rendus Mathematique*, 609 335:1043–1046, 2002.
- 610 [14] J.P. Dufour. Private communication.

- 611 [15] J.P. Dufour and J. Molinier. Une nouvelle famille d'algèbres de lie non dégénérées. *Indagationes Mathematicae*, 6:67–82, 1995.
- [16] D.B. Fuks. Cohomology of Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras. Monographs in Contemporary Mathematics.
   Springer US, 2012.
- [17] V. W. Guillemin and S. Sternberg. An algebraic model of transitive differential geometry. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 70:16–47, 1964.
- [18] G. Hochschild and J-P. Serre. Cohomology of group extensions. *Transactions of the American Mathematical* Society, 74(1):110–134, 1953.
- [19] S. Kobayashi and T. Nagano. On filtered lie algebras and geometric structures i. *Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics*, 13(5):875–907, 1964.
- [20] B. Malgrange. Frobenius avec singularités. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 39:67–89, 1977.
- 622 [21] C-M. Marle. The schouten-nijenhuis bracket and interior products. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 623 23(3):350–359, 1997.
- 624 [22] T.Z. Nguyen. A geometric proof of conn's linearization theorem for analytic poisson structures.
  625 arXiv:Math.SG/0207263, 2002.
- [23] A. Nijenhuis and R. W. Richardson. Deformations of lie algebra structures. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 17:89–105, 1967.
- 628 [24] M. Rais. La représentation coadjointe du groupe affine. Annales de l'Institut Fourier, 28:207-237, 1978.
- [25] A. Wade. Normalisation formelle de structures de poisson. Comptes Rendus De L Academie Des Sciences
   Serie I-mathematique, 324:531–536, 1997.
- [26] A. Weinstein. The local structure of poisson manifolds. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 18:523–557, 1983.
- 632 [27] A. Weinstein. Linearization problems for lie algebroids and lie groupoids. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 633 52:93–102, 2000.