



HAL
open science

NON DEGENERACY OF AFFINELIKE LIE ALGEBRA

Michel Nguifo, Stephane Puechmorel

► **To cite this version:**

Michel Nguifo, Stephane Puechmorel. NON DEGENERACY OF AFFINELIKE LIE ALGEBRA. 2024. hal-03655570v2

HAL Id: hal-03655570

<https://enac.hal.science/hal-03655570v2>

Preprint submitted on 12 Jun 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1

NON DEGENERACY OF AFFINELIKE LIE ALGEBRA

2

MICHEL NGUIFO BOYOM[†] AND STEPHANE PUECHMOREL^{††}

ABSTRACT. The aim of this note is to prove some cohomological vanishing theorems for non solvable affinelike Lie algebras, say ALLA. There are some relevant consequences of our vanishing theorems:

- (1) Every real non solvable affinelike Lie algebra is formally nondegenerate in the sense of A. Weinstein, (Theorem 1).
 - (2) Let G be a non solvable Lie group whose Lie algebra is an affinelike Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{e})$. If the radical of $[\ker(\text{ad}(\mathfrak{e})), \ker(\text{ad}(\mathfrak{e}))]$ is commutative, then G admits a left invariant symplectic structure if and only if it has an open coadjoint orbit, (Theorem 2).
- (a) In Section 6, we use our vanishing theorems to supply an algebraic proof of the normal form theorem for Lie non solvable a-algebroids.

3

1. INTRODUCTION

4

Given a smooth Riemannian manifold (M, g) , a gauge structure on M is a couple (M, ∇) where ∇ is a Koszul connection on TM . Using the metric g , one defines the dual connection ∇^* of ∇ by the relation:

5

$$(1) \quad X(g(Y, Z)) = g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X Z).$$

7

with X, Y, Z arbitrary vector fields. In the field of information geometry, if both ∇ and ∇^* are torsionless, the structure (M, g, ∇, ∇^*) is called a statistical manifold.

8

Definition 1. A section $\theta \in \Gamma(TM^* \otimes TM)$ is said to be a solution of the gauge equation if, for any vector fields X, Y :

9

$$(2) \quad \nabla_X \theta Y = \theta \nabla_X^* Y.$$

If θ is an invertible, antisymmetric (with respect to g) solution of the gauge equation, then:

12

$$\omega: (X, Y) \in TM^2 \mapsto g(\theta X, Y).$$

is a ∇ -parallel symplectic form. In this paper, M is a smooth manifold of finite dimension, TM (resp. T^*M) its tangent (resp. cotangent) bundle. A Poisson tensor on M , or a Poisson bivector, is a smooth section $\Pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 TM)$ of the vector bundle $\wedge^2 TM$ that satisfies:

15

$$(3) \quad [\Pi, \Pi] = 0$$

with $[\cdot, \cdot]$ the Schouten bracket [21]. It is, in some sense, a dual notion to a 2-form.

17

[†]IMAG, UNIV MONTPELLIER, CNRS, MONTPELLIER FRANCE.

^{††}ENAC, UNIVERSITÉ DE TOULOUSE.

E-mail addresses: michel.nguiffo-boyom@umontpellier.fr, stephane.puechmorel@enac.fr.

Date: June 12, 2024.

18 An example of such a tensor, that in fact has partly motivated this work, arises from the
19 2-form ω defined above. It is given by the relation:

$$\Pi_\omega(df, dg) = dg(X_f), \quad f, g \in C^\infty(M),$$

20 where X_f is the Hamiltonian vector field associated to f . The couple (M, Π) is called a
21 Poisson manifold. It is coherent with the more common definition using the Poisson bracket
22 $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ on $C^\infty(M)$ -function by the identification:

$$\{f, g\} = \Pi(df, dg).$$

23 *Remark 1.* A Poisson tensor can be expressed in local coordinates as:

$$\Pi = \pi^{ij} X_i X_j$$

24 where $\pi^{ij} = -\phi^{ji}$ and X_i is the i -th coordinate field.

25 A point $x \in M$ is said to be regular for Π if the linear mapping:

$$(4) \quad \omega \in T^*M \mapsto \Pi(x; \eta, \omega)$$

26 vanishes identically only when $\eta = 0$. The next definition is dual to the \sharp morphism in the
27 context of Riemannian manifolds.

28 **Definition 2.** For any $\omega \in T^*M$, the mapping:

$$(5) \quad \omega^\natural: \alpha \in T^*M \rightarrow \Pi(\omega, \alpha)$$

29 is an element of TM .

30 *Remark 2.* The morphism \natural is commonly represented by \sharp . In this paper, we want to
31 distinguish it from its metric version, hence the notation.

32 **Definition 3.** A Lie algebroid is a triple (A, M, ρ) where M is a smooth manifold, A is
33 a vector bundle on M with a Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ defined on its sections and $\natural: A \rightarrow TM$ a
34 vector bundle morphism such that, for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and any vector fields $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$:

$$(6) \quad [X, fY] = f[X, Y] + \rho(X)(f)Y.$$

35 The next proposition was obtained in [11][Theorem 8.1]

36 **Proposition 1.** A Poisson manifold (M, Π) gives rise to a Lie algebroid (T^*M, M, \natural) ,
37 where:

$$\natural(\alpha) = i(\alpha)\Pi.$$

38 and the Lie bracket on sections of T^*M is given by the relation:

$$(7) \quad [\alpha, \beta] = i_{\natural\alpha}d\beta - i_{\natural\beta}d\alpha + d(i_{\Pi}\alpha \wedge \beta)$$

39 Let Π be a smooth Poisson tensor on M and let:

$$A_\Pi = (T^*M, \natural)$$

40 be its associated Lie algebroid as in proposition 1. Let x_0 be a singular point of Π , that is
41 a point where the Poisson tensor vanishes. In a local coordinate system $x^i, i = 1 \dots n$, one
42 has the Taylor expansion for each component π^{ij} of Π :

$$(8) \quad \pi^{ij} = \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_k=1}^2 \frac{1}{k!} (X_{a_1} \dots X_{a_k} \pi^{ij}) x^{a_1} \dots x^{a_k}.$$

43 Gathering terms, one can write:

$$(9) \quad \Pi = \Pi^1 + \Pi^2 + \dots$$

44 where Π^k stands for the bivector corresponding the homogeneous expansion coefficients
45 of order k . The same expansion can be conducted on \mathfrak{b} . The Taylor expansion of Π (resp.
46 \mathfrak{b}) at x_0 will be designated in the sequel by $\tilde{\Pi}$ (resp. \tilde{A}_Π). Both $\tilde{\Pi}$ and \tilde{A}_Π have the same
47 linear part, namely Π^1 , whose expression in local coordinates is:

$$(10) \quad \Pi^1 = X_k(\pi^{ij})(x_0)x^k X_i X_j.$$

48 This linear part defines a Lie algebra structure in the vector space $T_{x_0}^*M$ with structure
49 constants $c_{ij}^k = X_k(\pi^{ij})$. We denote this Lie algebra by $(T_{x_0}^*M, \Pi^1)$ and call it the
50 linearization of the Poisson structure.

51 **Definition 4.** A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is said to be non-degenerate in the sense of A. Weinstein
52 if, for any Poisson structure Π admitting A as a linearization at a singular point x_0 , there
53 exists a local coordinate system $x^i, i = 1 \dots n$ such that each π^{ij} is a linear function:
54 $\pi^{ij}(x) = a_k^{ij} x^k$.

55 An important and largely open question in the Poisson geometry is to determinate those
56 Lie algebras which are non degenerate in the sense of A. Weinstein. If a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is
57 formally (resp. analytically or smoothly) non degenerate in the sense of A. Weinstein,
58 then, for every formal (resp. analytic or smooth) Poisson tensor Π the equality:

$$(T_{x_0}^*M, \Pi^1) = \mathfrak{g}$$

59 implies the formal (resp. analytic or smooth) linearization (near x_0) of the Poisson tensor
60 Π . Jean-Paul Dufour and Nguyen Tien Zung have proved that for every positive integer m ,
61 the affine Lie algebra

$$\text{Aff}(m) = \mathfrak{gl}(m) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^m$$

62 is analytically non degenerate in the sense of Weinstein, [13]. The list of known types of
63 non degenerate Lie algebras is short, (see [15], [13]). The linearization of Π implies that
64 A_Π , is linearizable as well. The inverse generally fails to hold. However, Jean-Paul Dufour
65 conjectures that the formal linearization would imply the analytic linearization of Poisson
66 structures whose ranks are > 2 , [14]. In the analytic category, the formal linearization of Π
67 implies its analytic linearization whenever the linear part $(T_{x_0}^*M, \Pi^1)$ is a semi-simple Lie
68 algebra, [9, 10]. The formal linearization problem may be considered from two points of
69 view. The first one is the splitting problem of extensions of Lie algebras, [27]. The second
70 viewpoint is that of formal deformations of Lie algebra structures. Both viewpoints are
71 largely governed by the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of Lie algebras, [15, 25].

72 The concern of this work is the study of the formal nondegeneracy of a new class of Lie
73 algebras, say affineline Lie algebras, (or ALLA). We recall that in a Lie algebra, the adjoint
74 $\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}: \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$ maps $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ to the derivation $\text{ad}(a): x \rightarrow [a, x]$. A affineline Lie algebra is a
75 Lie algebra that contains contains an element e such that $\text{ad}(e)$ satisfies:

$$\text{ad}^2(e) = \text{ad}(e)$$

76 Let us represent an affineline Lie algebra by the couple (\mathfrak{g}, e) .

77 From the Poisson geometry viewpoint, central results of this work yield the next two
78 theorems:

79 **Theorem 1.** *Every non solvable affinlike Lie algebra is formally non degenerate in the*
 80 *sense of A. Weinstein. A connected Lie group G whose Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is an affinlike Lie*
 81 *algebra, namely (\mathfrak{g}, e) , is labelled affinlike Lie group.*

82 **Theorem 2.** *Let: (\mathfrak{g}, e) be the Lie algebra of a connected non solvable affinlike Lie*
 83 *group G . Let us set $\mathfrak{g}_e = \ker(\text{ad}(e))$ and, $\Delta\mathfrak{g}_e = [\mathfrak{g}_e, \mathfrak{g}_e]$. Suppose the radical of $\Delta\mathfrak{g}_e$*
 84 *to be commutative. If G carries a left invariant symplectic structure, then it has an open*
 85 *coadjoint orbit in the dual vector space of its Lie algebra. Moreover, if the ground field*
 86 *is the field of complex numbers, then the open coadjoint orbit is unique and everywhere*
 87 *dense.*

88 The paper is organized as follow: In section 2, The notion of affinlike Lie algebra is
 89 introduced, then in section 3, the cohomology of polynomial maps is defined, with a view
 90 towards linearization problems. Section 4 is a short one on weak degeneracy. Finally, section
 91 5 and 6 give the contributions of the paper, the last one being an application of theorem
 92 4.

93 2. AFFINELIKE LIE ALGEBRAS

94 Let \mathbb{K} be either the field \mathbb{R} of real numbers or the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers. Let \mathfrak{g} be a
 95 Lie algebra over \mathbb{K} . Given $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ we shall set:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\mathfrak{g} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \\ \Delta_e\mathfrak{g} = [e, \mathfrak{g}] \\ \mathfrak{g}_e = \ker(\text{ad}(e)) \end{cases}$$

96 Let \mathfrak{S} be a Levi subalgebra of $\Delta\mathfrak{g}_e$. In the sequel, $R(\mathfrak{g})$ will be the radical of the Lie algebra
 97 \mathfrak{g} .

98 **Definition 5.** A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is called affinlike Lie algebra, 'ALLA', if it contains an
 99 element e such that:

100 (alla 1) $\text{ad}^2(e) = \text{ad}(e)$.

101 (alla 2) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{K}e \oplus \Delta\mathfrak{g}$.

102 (alla 3) If \mathfrak{g} is non solvable, then $H^0(\mathfrak{S}, R(\Delta\mathfrak{g})) = 0$.

103 According to property (alla 2) above, \mathfrak{g} is a semi-direct product of $\Delta\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathbb{K}e$. Here are
 104 two elementary properties of affinlike Lie algebras.

105 **Proposition 2.** *The subspace $\Delta_e\mathfrak{g}$ is a commutative ideal of \mathfrak{g} .*

106 *Proof.* Let $a, b \in \Delta_e\mathfrak{g}$. Then:

$$[e, [a, b]] = 2[a, b].$$

107 On the other hand one has:

$$[e, [e, [a, b]]] = [e, [a, b]].$$

108 Combining the two equalities above one easily sees that:

$$[a, b] = 0,$$

109 proving that $\Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$ is commutative. To see that it is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} , we remark that as a vector
 110 space, \mathfrak{g} admits the following decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \Delta_e \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_e$$

111 Now given $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ let us write it as:

$$x = u + v, u \in \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}, v \in \mathfrak{g}_e$$

112 Given $a \in \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$, one has:

$$[e, [x, a]] = [e, [u, a]] = [u, [e, a]] = [u, a] = [x, a].$$

113 proving that $\Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} . □

114 **Proposition 3.** *Since $\text{ad}(e)$ is a derivation of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the subspace \mathfrak{g}_e is a*
 115 *subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .*

116 As a Lie algebra, \mathfrak{g} may be presented as the semi-direct product:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_e \ltimes \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}.$$

117 Putting:

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e = \mathfrak{g} / \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$$

118 we have an exact split sequence of Lie algebras:

$$(11) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Delta_e \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e \longrightarrow 0$$

119 *Remark 3.* Under the adjoint representation of \mathfrak{g} in itself, 11 is an exact sequence of
 120 \mathfrak{g} -modules which is generally not split. However, it is split as a sequence of \mathfrak{g}_e -modules.

121 Now, let W be a finite dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module. From 11 we deduce the following exact
 122 sequence of \mathfrak{g} -modules:

$$(12) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(W, \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(W, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e) \longrightarrow 0$$

123 As an exact sequence of \mathfrak{g}_e -modules, 12 is split. Let us denote by $C^*(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g}))$ the
 124 Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of \mathfrak{g} with coefficients in $\text{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g})$, [8]. Then, for every
 125 non negative integer k , we denote by $H^k(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g}))$ the k -th cohomology space of
 126 $H(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g}))$. From Remark 3, one has the following identity:

$$H^k(\mathfrak{g}_e, \text{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g})) = H^k(\mathfrak{g}_e, \text{Hom}(W, \Delta_e \mathfrak{g})) \oplus H^k(\mathfrak{g}_e, \text{Hom}(W, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e))$$

127 This digression might be combined with the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence to be useful
 128 in calculating the cohomology spaces $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g}))$. However, a direct calculation
 129 of $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g}))$ is the purpose of the next sections.

130

3. COHOMOLOGY OF POLYNOMIAL MAPS

131 Let $S(\mathfrak{g})$ be the symmetric algebra of the vector space \mathfrak{g} . Our purpose is to calculate
 132 the cohomology space $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g}))$ where W is a homogeneous submodule of the
 133 graded \mathfrak{g} -module:

$$S^+(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} S^i(\mathfrak{g})$$

134 In the sequel, we shall identify $\text{Hom}(W, F)$ with $W^* \otimes F$, where W^* is the dual vector space
 135 of W and $F \in \{\Delta_e \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e\}$. According to the exact sequence 12, we have the classical long
 136 exact sequence:

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} H^i(\mathfrak{g}, W^* \otimes \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathfrak{g}, W^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathfrak{g}, W^* \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e) \\ & & & \swarrow & \\ H^{i+1}(\mathfrak{g}, W^* \otimes \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & \dots & & \end{array}$$

137 Before pursuing, let us give some examples of affinelike Lie algebras.

138 *Example 1.* Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{K} , then the Lie algebra:

$$\text{Aff}(V) = \mathfrak{gl}(V) \ltimes V$$

139 is an ALLA where e is the identity endomorphism of V .

140 *Example 2.* Let $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a real euclidean space. Its Lie algebra of affine endomorphisms
 141 with conformal linear part is an ALLA.

142 *Example 3.* Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{K} \text{Id}_{\mathbb{K}^m} \ltimes K^m$. Then \mathfrak{g} is an ALLA.

143 *Example 4.* Let $M(m, n)$ be the vector space of $m \times n$ matrices with entries in \mathbb{K} . Let:

$$\mathfrak{g}_{m,n} = \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{K}^m) \ltimes M(m, n)$$

144 with bracket:

$$[(a, b), (a', b')] = ([a, a'], ab' - a'b)$$

145 Let e be the unit matrix in $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{K}^m)$. Then (\mathfrak{g}, e) is an ALLA. The algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{m,n}$ are sometimes
 146 called affine algebras. Their coadjoint representations are deeply studied in [24].

147 **Proposition 4.** *Let \mathfrak{g} be an ALLA. Then:*

$$H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{K}) = H^2([\mathfrak{g}_e, \mathfrak{g}_e], \mathbb{K})$$

148 *Proof.* Let $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ be an element satisfying properties (alla 1) and (alla 2). Given a scalar
 149 2-cocycle $\theta \in C^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{K})$, the cartan formula:

$$L(e)\theta = i(e)\partial + \partial i(e)$$

150 implies that:

$$L(e)\theta = \partial i(e)\theta.$$

151 Now, let $x = s + u, x' = s' + u' \in \mathfrak{g}_e \oplus \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$. One easily verifies that:

$$\theta(u, u') = \theta(e, [s, s']) = 0$$

152 The restriction map from $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{K})$ to $H^2(\mathfrak{g}_e, \mathbb{K})$ is thus one-to-one. To see that it is onto,
153 we remark that (alla 2) implies that:

$$\mathfrak{g}_e = \mathbb{K}e \oplus [\mathfrak{g}_e, \mathfrak{g}_e]$$

154 Moreover, the same (alla 2) shows that the Lie algebra $[\mathfrak{g}_e, \mathfrak{g}_e]$ is perfect. Thus, we have:

$$H^1(\mathfrak{g}_e, \mathbb{K}) = 0$$

155 and

$$(H^1(\mathbb{K}e, \mathbb{K}))^{\mathfrak{g}_e} = 0$$

156 Owing to the Hochschild-Serres exact sequence [18], one sees that the inflation map from
157 $H^2([\mathfrak{g}_e, \mathfrak{g}_e], \mathbb{K})$ to $H^2(\mathfrak{g}_e, \mathbb{K})$ is injective, thus proving the claim. \square

158 In the particular case where $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Aff}(m)$ and $e = Id_{\mathbb{K}^m}$, we have $\mathfrak{g}_e = \mathfrak{gl}(m, \mathbb{K})$ and:

$$H^2(\mathfrak{gl}(m, \mathbb{K}), \mathbb{K}) = \sum_{p+q=2} H^p(\mathbb{K}e, \mathbb{K}) \otimes H^q(\mathfrak{sl}(m, \mathbb{K}), \mathbb{K}) = 0$$

159 The reader may consult [1] for another proof of the latter vanishing theorem, namely:

$$H^2(\text{Aff}(\mathbb{K}^m), \mathbb{K}) = 0$$

160 The proof given here is simpler. Another remark to be made is that every affine group:

$$\text{Aff}(\mathbb{K}^m) = \text{GL}(\mathbb{K}^m) \ltimes \mathbb{K}^m$$

161 has open coadjoint orbits. In other words, every affine group $\text{Aff}(V)$ carries a left invariant
162 symplectic structure, [24]. Indeed, it is sufficient to identify the dual vector space of the
163 Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aff}(m)$ with itself under the transposition map. In other words, considering
164 $\mathfrak{aff}(m)$ as a subspace of $\mathfrak{gl}(m+1, \mathbb{K})$, one deals with the inner product:

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \text{Tr}(A^t B)$$

165 Let:

$$(A, v) \in \mathfrak{gl}(m) \ltimes \mathbb{K}$$

166 be a m -cyclic couple in the following sense: $\{v, Av, \dots, A^{m-1}v\}$ is a basis of the vector
167 space \mathbb{K}^m . The couple (A, v) being regarded as an element of $\mathfrak{gl}(m+1, \mathbb{K})$, its transpose,
168 say $(A, v)^t$, is an element of the dual space of $\mathfrak{aff}(m)$. The property to be m -cyclic implies
169 that the coadjoint orbit of $(A, v)^t$ is an open subset of the dual vector space of $\mathfrak{aff}(m)$. For
170 instance, take the square $m \times m$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ whose nonzero entries are:

$$\begin{cases} a_{i+1, i} = 1 \\ a_{1, m} = 1 \end{cases}$$

171 Thus, A is an m -cyclic matrix. Take the vector $v = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{K}^m$. Then, the couple
172 (A, v) being regarded as an element of the dual space of $\mathfrak{aff}(m)$ has an open coadjoint
173 orbit.

4. WEAK NONDEGENERACY

174

175 Let Π be a smooth Poisson tensor on the smooth manifold \mathbb{K}^m . Let us suppose 0 to be a
 176 singularity of Π and let $\hat{\Pi}$ be the Taylor expansion at 0 of Π . The linear part of Π , say Π^1 ,
 177 defines a Lie algebra structure in the vector space of linear functions. Let us denote this
 178 Lie algebra structure by (\mathbb{K}^{*m}, Π^1) . Following Weinstein, a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is called formally
 179 (resp. analytically or smoothly) non degenerate if every formal (resp. analytic or smooth)
 180 Poisson tensor Π satisfying both conditions:

$$\Pi(0) = 0$$

181 and

$$(\mathbb{K}^{*m}, \Pi^1) = \mathfrak{g}$$

182 is formally (resp. analytically or smoothly) linearizable at 0 . In other words, Π is formally
 183 (resp. analytically or smoothly) linearizable at 0 if (near 0) there exist a system of formally
 184 (resp. analytic or smooth) coordinate functions:

$$F := (f_1, \dots, f_m)$$

185 such that:

$$\Pi(df_i, df_j) = \sum_k c_{ij}^k f_k$$

186 with $c_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{K}$. Now, to every smooth Poisson tensor Π on a smooth manifold M is assigned
 187 the Lie algebroid structure (T^*M, \mathfrak{h}) . The anchor map \mathfrak{h} is the vector bundle homomor-
 188 phism from T^*M to TM defined by:

$$\mathfrak{h}(\alpha) = i(\alpha)\Pi.$$

189 The anchor map \mathfrak{h} and the Poisson tensor Π have the same singularities. In particular
 190 $\mathfrak{h}(0) = 0$. The linear part of the Taylor expansion at 0 of \mathfrak{h} defines a Lie algebra structure
 191 in the cotangent space T_0^*M . That Lie algebra structure is the same as the one defined by
 192 Π^1 . So, it is easy to see that the Taylor expansion at 0 of \mathfrak{h} defines a formal deformation of
 193 the linear Lie algebroid:

$$\mathfrak{g} \times T_0M$$

194 where:

$$\mathfrak{g} = (T_0^*M, \Pi^1).$$

195 **Definition 6.** A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is called weakly formally (resp. weakly analytically or weakly
 196 smoothly) non degenerate in the sense of Weinstein if the following conditions hold: let Π
 197 be a formal (resp. analytical or smooth) Poisson structure such that:

$$\begin{cases} \Pi(0) = 0. \\ \mathfrak{g} = (T_0^*M, \Pi^1). \end{cases}$$

198 then the corresponding formal (resp. analytic or smooth) Lie algebroid structure (T^*M, \mathfrak{h})
 199 is formally (resp. analytically or smoothly) linearizable at 0 .

200 The formal (resp. analytic or smooth) linearization at 0 of Π implies the formal (resp.
 201 analytic or smooth) linearization at 0 of its associated Lie algebroid. The converse doesn't
 202 hold, even formally.

203

5. MAIN RESULTS

204 From now on, we shall represent an ALLA by the couple (\mathfrak{g}, e) . We fix once for all an
 205 homogeneous submodule W of the graded \mathfrak{g} -module $S^+(\mathfrak{g})$. Then, one has the following
 206 exact sequence of \mathfrak{g} -modules:

$$(14) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(W, \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(W, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e) \longrightarrow 0$$

207 We shall denote by W^l the subspace of W consisting of homogeneous elements of degree l .
 208 Let $Z(\Delta_e \mathfrak{g})$ be the centralizer of $\Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$ in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and let $R(\Delta_e \mathfrak{g})$ be the radical of
 209 the Lie algebra $\Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$. Keeping these notations, the main concern of this section is to prove
 210 the next statements:

Theorem 3. *Let (\mathfrak{g}, e) be a non solvable affineline Lie algebra. Then, for every positive integer l , the following Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology spaces vanish:*

$$H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(W^l, \Delta_e \mathfrak{g})),$$

$$H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(W^l, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e)).$$

211 **Theorem 4.** *Let (\mathfrak{g}, e) be a non solvable affineline Lie algebra. Then, for every homogeneous*
 212 *submodule V of the graded \mathfrak{g} -module $S^+ \mathfrak{g}$:*

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{g}}^2(V, \mathfrak{g}) = 0$$

213 **Theorem 5.** *Every non solvable affineline Lie algebra is formally (resp. analytically)*
 214 *weakly non degenerate in the sense of Alan Weinstein.*

215 Before stating the proofs of the theorems which are stated above, it is useful to fix some
 216 notations. Let $\mathfrak{g}_e = R(\mathfrak{g}_e) + S$ be a fixed Levi decomposition of \mathfrak{g}_e . Therefore, the vector
 217 space \mathfrak{g} may be decomposed as follows:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \Delta_e \mathfrak{g} + R(\mathfrak{g}_e) + S$$

218 Each subspace W^l is multi-graded as indicated below:

$$W^l = \bigoplus W^{t,r,s}$$

219 where t, r and s are non negative integers such that $t + r + s = l$ and $W^{t,r,s}$ is a subspace of
 220 the vector space:

$$S^t(\Delta_e \mathfrak{g}) \otimes S^r(R(\mathfrak{g}_e)) \otimes S^s(S).$$

221 Every vector space $C^k(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(W^l, \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}))$ is a \mathfrak{g} -module under the prolongation of the
 222 adjoint representation. Thus, for each $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, the action of a (resp. the inner product by a)
 223 is denoted by $L_{(a)}$ (resp. $i(a)$). The Chevalley-Eilenberg coboundary operator, namely ∂ ,
 224 is related to $L_{(a)}$ by the next Cartan formula:

$$L_{(a)} = \partial i(a) + i(a)\partial.$$

225 Let $\xi \in W^l$. According to the previous notations, we shall write ξ as follows:

$$\xi = \sum_{t,r,s} \xi^{t,r,s}$$

226 It is easy to see that $L_{(e)} \xi^{t,r,s} = t \xi^{t,r,s}$. Now, let $\theta \in Z^2(\mathfrak{g}, W^l \otimes \Delta_e \mathfrak{g})$. Following the
 227 Cartan formula we get:

$$L_{(e)} \theta = \partial i(e)\theta.$$

228 Before pursuing, let $\wedge^k \mathfrak{g}$ be the k -th exterior power of the vector space \mathfrak{g} . Then, we can
 229 decompose it as follows:

$$(15) \quad \wedge^k \mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus^m \wedge^m \Delta_e \mathfrak{g} \wedge \wedge^n R(\mathfrak{g}_e) \wedge \wedge^p S.$$

230 with $m + n + p = k$. We are now in position to prove theorem 3.

231 **5.1. Proof of theorem 3.** The proof has been divided into two parts.

Part 1

Step 1

232 Let $u, v \in \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$ and $\xi^{t,r,s} \in W^{t,r,s}$. A direct calculation of:

$$\left((L_{(e)}\theta)(u, v) \right) (\xi^{t,r,s})$$

233 yields the next result:

$$\left((L_{(e)}\theta)(u, v) \right) (\xi^{t,r,s}) = (-1 + t) (\theta(u, v)) (\xi^{t,r,s})$$

234 For each $\phi \in W^l \oplus \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$, the $W^{t,r,s}$ component of ϕ is denoted by $\phi^{t,r,s}$. The calculations
 235 made above show that for every 2-cocycle:

$$\theta \in C^2 \left(\mathfrak{g}, W^l \oplus \Delta_e \mathfrak{g} \right)$$

236 one has:

$$(-1 + t) \theta^{t,r,s}(u, v) = (\partial i(e)\theta)(u, v).$$

237 From the identity above we deduce that if $t \neq 1$, then the map $(u, v) \mapsto \theta^{t,r,s}(u, v)$ coincides
 238 with the boundary of a 1-cochain.

Step 2

239 Let $x, x' \in \mathfrak{g}$. One has the following identity:

$$\left((L_{(e)}\theta)(x, x') \right) (\xi^{t,r,s}) = (1 + t) (\theta(x, x')) (\xi^{t,r,s})$$

240 Since t is a non negative integer, the map $(x, x') \mapsto \theta(x, x')$ coincides with the coboundary
 241 of a 1-cochain.

Step 3

242 It remains to examine $\theta(x, u)$ for $(x, u) \in \mathfrak{g}_e \oplus \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$. Let us fix $\xi^{t,r,s}$ as above. It
 243 comes:

$$\left((L_{(e)}\theta)(x, u) \right) (\xi^{t,r,s}) = t (\theta(x, u)) (\xi^{t,r,s}).$$

244 Using arguments similar to those used in *step 2*, we deduce that if t is a positive integer,
 245 then there is a 1-cochain $\phi(t) \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(W^l, \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}))$ such that:

$$\theta(x, u) = \partial \phi(t)(x, u).$$

Step 4

Now, we take into account the decomposition 15. Given a cochain $\theta \in C^{m+n+p}(\mathfrak{g}, W \otimes \mathfrak{g})$,
 its restriction to $\wedge^{m,n,p} \mathfrak{g}$ is denoted by $\theta_{m,n,p}$. Therefore, every k -cochain:

$$\theta^k \in C^k \left(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom} \left(W^l, \Delta_e \mathfrak{g} \right) \right)$$

246 is decomposed as follows:

$$\theta^k = \sum_{m+n+p=k} \sum_{t+r+s=l} \theta_{m,n,p}^{t,r,s}$$

247 In particular, when ϕ is a 2-cocycle, *step 1*, *step 2* and *step 3* tell us that all its components
 248 $\theta_{m,n,p}^{t,r,s}$ are exact, except when $t = 0$ or $t = 1$. So, every cohomology class $[\theta^l] \in$
 249 $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(W^l, \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}))$ may be represented by a cocycle of the form:

$$\sum_{r+s=l} \theta_{2,0,0}^{1,r,s} + \sum_{n+p=1} \sum_{r+s=l-1} \theta_{1,n,p}^{0,r,s}$$

250 Now, let's consider the map $\chi: S \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Delta_e \mathfrak{g} + R(\mathfrak{g}_e), S^{0,r,s}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Delta_e \mathfrak{g})$ that maps x
 251 to $\sum_{m+n=1} \sum_{r+s=l-1} i(x) \theta_{m,n,1}^{0,r,s}$. By virtue of Cartan formula, this map is a cocycle of
 252 S . Since S is semi-simple, there exists an element $\phi \in \text{Hom}(\Delta_e \mathfrak{g} + R(\mathfrak{g}_e), S^l(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Delta_e \mathfrak{g})$
 253 whose coboundary is χ . Now, we are in position to prove that the cohomology $[\theta^k]$ may
 254 be represented by a cocycle of the form:

$$\sum_{r+s=l-1} \theta_{2,0,0}^{1,r,s} + \sum_{r+s=l} \theta_{1,1,0}^{0,r,s}$$

255 Indeed, let us consider the cochain $\mu \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, S^l(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Delta_e \mathfrak{g})$ which is defined by:

$$\mu(x, y) = \phi(y).$$

256 where $x \in S$ and $y \in \Delta_e \mathfrak{g} + R(\mathfrak{g}_e)$. A direct calculation shows that the component:

$$\sum_{m+n=l} \sum_{r+s=l} \theta_{m,n,1}^{0,r,s}$$

257 is the coboundary of μ . Therefore, the cohomology class of $[\theta^l]$ may be represented by a
 258 cocycle of the form:

$$(16) \quad \sum_{r+s=l-1} \theta_{2,0,0}^{1,r,s} + \sum_{r+s=l} \theta_{1,1,0}^{0,r,s}$$

259 For convenience and without loss of generality, we may assume that the ground field \mathbb{K} is
 260 the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} . Fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset S$. To the couple (\mathfrak{h}, S) we
 261 assign the roots system \mathcal{R} (resp. \mathcal{R}') of the S -module $\Delta_e \mathfrak{g} + R(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ (resp. $S^l \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$). The
 262 elements of \mathcal{R} (resp. \mathcal{R}') are labelled α_j (resp. γ_j). It is to be noticed that the multigraduation
 263 that we are using is preserved by the action of \mathfrak{g}_e . Thus, given a cochain θ and a root $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}'$,
 264 the γ -component of θ is denoted by $\theta^{(\gamma)}$. Now, let $\alpha_i, \alpha_j \in \mathcal{R}$, and $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}'$. Let $H \in \mathfrak{h}$.
 265 Taking into account those notations and the Cartan formula, one easily deduces from the
 266 expression 16 the following identities;

$$\gamma(H) \theta^{(\gamma)}(x_{\alpha_i}, x_{\alpha_j}) = (\alpha_i + \alpha_j)(H) \theta^{(\gamma)}(\alpha_i, \alpha_j).$$

267 Those identities hold if and only if $\theta^{(\gamma)} = 0$, $\forall \gamma \in \mathcal{R}'$. That ends the proof of the vanishing
 268 of $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, W \otimes \Delta_e \mathfrak{g})$.

Part 2

269 The concern of Part 2 is to prove that $\forall l > 1$, the cohomology space $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, W^l \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e)$ vanishes.
 270 The proof is similar to that in Part 1 and so we shall keep the same notations.

Step 1

271 Let θ be a 2-cocycle in $C^2(\mathfrak{g}, W^l \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e)$. Given $u, v \in \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$, using the Cartan formula, a
 272 direct calculation of $((L_{(e)} \theta^{t,r,s}))(u, v)$ yields:

$$(t-2) \theta^{t,r,s}(u, v) = (\partial i(e) \theta)(u, v).$$

273 Therefore, we deduce that the quantity $\theta(u, v)$ coincides with the coboundary of a 1-cochain
 274 when $t \neq 2$. So, except $\theta_{2,0,0}^{2,r,s}$, all of the components $\theta_{2,0,0}^{t,r,s}$ are exact.

Step 2.

275 Given $x, x' \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e$, one deduces from the identity:

$$((L_{(e)}\theta)(x, x')) = (\partial i(e)\theta)(x, x')$$

276 the following relation:

$$t\theta^{t,r,s}(x, x') = (\partial i(e)\theta)(x, x').$$

277 So, if $t \neq 0$, the component $\theta_{0,n,p}^{t,r,s}$ coincides with the component of an exact cocycle.
278 *Step 3*

278 Given $(x, u) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e \oplus \Delta_e \mathfrak{g}$, we have:

$$(t-1)\theta^{t,r,s}(x, u) = (\partial i(e)\theta)(x, u).$$

279 Thus, except $\theta_{1,n,p}^{1,r,s}$ all of the components $\theta_{1,n,p}^{t,r,s}$ coincides with the restriction of exact cocycle.
280 Now, we can conclude from the three steps above that every cohomology class

$$[\theta] \in H^2(\mathfrak{g}, W^l \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e)$$

281 may be represented by a cocycle of the following form:

$$\theta = \sum_{r+s=l-2} \theta_{2,0,0}^{2,r,s} + \sum_{n+p=1} \sum_{r+s=l-1} \theta_{1,n,p}^{1,r,s} + \sum_{r+s=l} \sum_{n+p=2} \theta_{0,n,p}^{0,r,s}.$$

282 Now, taking into account this reduced form of θ , it is easy to verify that the following
283 component of θ :

$$\phi = \sum_{r+s=l} \theta_{0,0,2}^{0,r,s}$$

284 is a cocycle of S . Since S is semi-simple, there exists an element $\chi \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, W^l \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e)$
285 whose boundary is ϕ . Thus, we can represent the cohomology class of θ^l by:

$$(17) \quad \gamma = \sum_{r+s=l-2} \theta_{2,0,0}^{2,r,s} + \sum_{r+s=l-1} (\theta_{1,1,0}^{1,r,s} + \theta_{1,0,1}^{1,r,s}) + \sum_{r+s=l} (\theta_{0,2,0}^{0,r,s} + \theta_{0,1,1}^{0,r,s}).$$

286 Now, we consider the map μ (resp. ν) from S to $\text{Hom}(\Delta_e \mathfrak{g}, W \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e)$ (resp. from S to
287 $\text{Hom}(R\mathfrak{g}_e, W \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e)$) which is defined as follows:

$$\mu(x) = \sum_{r+s=l-1} i(x)\theta_{1,0,1}^{1,r,s}$$

288 and:

$$\nu(x) = \sum_{r+s=l} i(x)\theta_{0,1,1}^{0,r,s}.$$

289 Both μ and ν are cocycles of the semi-simple Lie algebra S . Thus, it exists $\xi \in$
290 $\text{Hom}(\Delta_e \mathfrak{g}, W \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e)$ (resp. $\zeta \in \text{Hom}(R\mathfrak{g}_e, W \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e)$) whose coboundary is μ (resp. ν).
291 Now, let one regard the map $\eta = \xi + \zeta$ as an element of $C^1(\mathfrak{g}, W \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e)$ by setting:

$$\eta(u + y + x) = \xi(x) + \zeta(x), \forall (u, y, x) \in \Delta_e \mathfrak{g} \oplus R(\mathfrak{g}_e) \oplus S.$$

292 Now, the cocycle $\theta' = \gamma - d\eta$, with γ as in 17, doesn't contain any component of type
293 $\theta_{0,0,p}^{t,r,s}$ with $p > 0$. Thus, any cohomology class in $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, W^l \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e)$ may be represented by
294 a cocycle of the following REDUCED form:

$$\theta' = \sum_{r+s=l-1} \theta_{2,0,0}^{1,r,s} + \sum_{r+s=l} (\theta_{1,1,0}^{0,r,s} + \theta_{0,2,0}^{0,r,s}).$$

Step 4.

295 We assume again $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, we fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset S$. We label α_i (resp.
296 γ_j) the corresponding roots system of the S -module $\Delta_e \mathfrak{g} + R(\mathfrak{g}_e)$ (resp. $\sum_{r+s=l-1} W^{1,r,s} \otimes$

297 $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e + \sum_{r+s=l} W^{0,r,s} \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e$). Now, let $\alpha_i, \alpha_{i'}$ and γ be roots. Taking into account the REDUCED
 298 form θ' of 2-cocycles, we denote by $\theta'_{(\gamma)}$ the γ -component of θ' . Then the Cartan formula
 299 implies the following identities:

$$\gamma(H)\theta'_{(\gamma)}(u_{\alpha_i}, u_{\alpha_{i'}}) = (\alpha_i + \alpha_{i'})(H)\theta'_{(\gamma)}(u_{\alpha_i}, u_{\alpha_{i'}}), \forall \alpha_i, \alpha_{i'}, \gamma.$$

300 Of course, those identities hold if and only if each $\theta'_{(\gamma)}$ vanishes identically.

301 **5.2. Proof of theorem 4.** The notations used will be the ones previously defined. Fix an
 302 homogeneous submodule $W \subseteq S^+\mathfrak{g}$ and let k be a non negative integer. Owing to Remark
 303 3, we have:

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{g}}^k(W, F) = \bigoplus_l \text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{g}}^k(W^l, F)$$

304 where l runs over the set of positive integers and $F \in \{\Delta_e\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e\}$. On one hand, (12) gives
 305 rise the classical long cohomology exact sequence. In particular, the following sequence is
 306 exact at the level $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(W^l, \mathfrak{g}))$:

$$H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(W, \Delta_e\mathfrak{g})) \longmapsto H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g})) \longmapsto H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(W, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_e))$$

307 On the other hand, if F is a finite dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module, then we have the following
 308 classical linear isomorphisms:

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{g}}^k(W^l, F) \sim H^k(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(W^l, F))$$

309 Thus, owing to Theorem (3), the exact sequence above yields

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{g}}^2(W, \mathfrak{g})$$

310 **5.3. Proof of theorem 5.** Before proceeding, let us recall the meaning of Theorem (5). Let
 311 Π be a smooth Poisson tensor defined in a m -dimensional smooth manifold M . Theorem
 312 (5) expresses a local property of Lie algebroids given by Poisson structures (near to their
 313 singularities). Thus, we shall suppose that M is an open neighborhood of the origin of \mathbb{K}^m .
 314 Let us suppose thus that Π vanishes at the origin 0 of \mathbb{K}^m . Let:

$$\check{\Pi} = \sum_I \Pi^I$$

315 be the Taylor expansion at 0 of Π , where all of the I are multi-indices, namely $I =$
 316 (i_1, \dots, i_m) . Let Π^1 be the linear part of Π . Then, Π^1 defines a Lie algebra structure in
 317 the vector space of linear functions. The Taylor expansion at 0 of Π is regarded as a formal
 318 deformation of Π^1 . In fact, we have three Poisson structures in a small neighborhood of
 319 0, namely, the smooth structure Π , the formal structure $\check{\Pi}$ and the linear structure Π^1 . So,
 320 finally, the question boils down to know whether Π (resp. $\check{\Pi}$) is smoothly (resp. formally)
 321 isomorphic to Π^1 .

322 We can now proceed to the proof of theorem 5.

323 *Proof.* Given a multi-index $I = (i_1, \dots, i_p)$, we shall set:

$$l(I) = \sum_{j=1}^p i_j$$

324 Let us denote by \mathfrak{g} the Lie algebra defined by Π^1 . Then, each homogeneous component Π^l
 325 of the Taylor expansion at 0 of Π is an element of $C^2(\mathfrak{g}, S^{l(l)\mathfrak{g}})$. Let us consider the Lie
 326 algebroid structure:

$$A_\pi = (T^*M, \mathfrak{h})$$

327 which is defined by (M, Π) . Let us recall the following facts. Firstly, the anchor map \mathfrak{h} is
 328 the vector bundle map defined by:

$$\mathfrak{h}(\alpha) = i(\alpha)\Pi.$$

329 Secondly, given 2 smooth sections of T^*M , say α, β their bracket is defined by:

$$[\alpha, \beta]_{\mathfrak{h}} = i_{\mathfrak{h}\alpha}d\beta - i_{\mathfrak{h}\beta}d\alpha + di_{\Pi}\alpha \wedge \beta.$$

330 Thus, one easily sees that a Poisson tensor and the associated Lie algebroid have the
 331 same singularities and the same linear part at their singular points. Let \check{A}_π , be the Taylor
 332 expansion at 0 of the anchor map \mathfrak{h} . It may be regarded as a formal deformation of the
 333 linear Lie algebroid:

$$\mathfrak{g} = (T_0^*M, \Pi^1) \times T_0M$$

334 Now, \tilde{x} let be a smooth vector field defined near 0, let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be a smooth section of T^*M . Let
 335 us set:

$$\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}(0)$$

336 and:

$$x = \tilde{x}(0)$$

337 Then, the expression:

$$\rho(\alpha)x = [\mathfrak{h}(\tilde{\alpha}), \tilde{x}](0)$$

338 is well defined. It is easy to verify that:

$$\rho([\alpha, \beta]_{\mathfrak{h}}) = [\rho(\alpha), \rho(\beta)].$$

339 Therefore, the dual vector space:

$$\mathfrak{g}^* = T_0M$$

340 is a \mathfrak{g} -module, but the action of \mathfrak{g} is not the coadjoint action. The (graded) \mathfrak{g} -module structure
 341 of $S^+\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*$ is inherited from the tensor product $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \rho$. Moreover, the coefficients of the
 342 Taylor expansion at 0 of \mathfrak{h} may be regarded as elements of the Spencer prolongations of the
 343 linear subalgebra $\rho(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(T_0) \subset \dots$, [17]. From this viewpoint, the algebroid (T^*M, \mathfrak{h})
 344 is formally linearizable at 0 if the first prolongation of $\rho(\mathfrak{g})$ is zero. So it is the case when
 345 $\rho(\mathfrak{g})$ is compact or when the fundamental form

$$B_\rho(\alpha, \beta) = \text{Tr}(\rho(\alpha)\rho(\beta))$$

346 is non degenerate, [17]. What is just said above is nothing but the algebraic deformation
 347 theoretic point of view applied to the linearization problem for the anchor map \mathfrak{h} . We can
 348 also examine the linearization of (T^*M, \mathfrak{h}) from the abstract algebra viewpoint. Indeed, let
 349 us denote by $\Omega(M)$ the vector space of smooth sections of T^*M . For every non negative
 350 integer 1, we set:

$$\omega^{(l)} = \{\alpha \in \Omega(M) \mid j_0^l \alpha = 0\}$$

351 Every $\Omega^{(l)}$ is an ideal of the Lie algebra structure associated to the Lie algebroid (T^*M, \mathfrak{h}) .

352 Therefore, we get a natural filtration:

$$\Omega(M) \supset \Omega^{(1)}(M) \supset \Omega^{(2)}(M) \supset \dots$$

353 From now on, we shall keep in mind this filtered Lie algebra structure in $\Omega(M)$, [19]. It is
 354 easily seen that the quotient space $\Omega^{(l)}/\Omega^{(l+1)}$ may be canonically identified with:

$$S^l T_0^* M \otimes T_0^* M = \text{Hom} \left(S^l T_0 M, T_0^* M \right).$$

355 Now, let us denote by $\text{grd } \Omega$ the graded Lie algebra whose l -th homogeneous subspace is:

$$\text{grd } {}^l \Omega = \Omega^{(l)}/\Omega^{(l+1)}.$$

356 In fact, the positive part of $\text{grd } \Omega$, namely:

$$\text{grd } {}^+ \Omega = \bigoplus_{l \geq 1} \Omega^{(l)}/\Omega^{(l+1)}$$

357 is an ideal of $\text{grd } \Omega$. Moreover, the quotient Lie algebra:

$$\text{grd } \Omega / \text{grd } {}^+ \omega$$

358 is nothing but the Lie algebra:

$$\left(T_0^* M, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}} \right) = (T_0^* M, \Pi^1).$$

359 So, we have the following exact sequence of Lie algebras

$$(18) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{grd } {}^+ \Omega \rightarrow \text{grd } \Omega \rightarrow \left(T_0^* M, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}} \right) \rightarrow 0$$

360 The problem to know whether the sequence above (Lie) splits is the formal linearization
 361 problem for the Poisson structure (M, Π) , see [27]. The study of this problem involves
 362 the cohomology space $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \text{grd } {}^+ \Omega)$, [23, 25]. So, by the virtue of Theorem (4), the exact
 363 sequence of Lie algebras 18 splits. In other words, there exists a Lie algebra homomorphism
 364 ϱ from $\left(T_0^* M, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}} \right)$ to the formal Poisson algebra $(j_0^\infty(C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})), [\cdot, \cdot])$ such that
 365 $d_0 \varrho(\lambda) = \lambda, \forall \lambda \in T_0^* M$. Of course, the bracket on power series is induced by the Poisson
 366 structure (M, Π) , viz:

$$[j_0^\infty f, j_0^\infty g] = j_0^\infty (\Pi(f, g))$$

367 Since $\Pi(f, h)$ depends only on the differential of the both f and h , we may restrict our
 368 attention to the set $C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ of real valued smooth or analytic functions which vanish at the
 369 point $0 \in M$. The differentiation at $0 \in M$, say d_0 , induces a Lie algebra homomorphism
 370 from $C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ onto $\left(T_0^* M, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}} \right)$. So, the smooth or analytic linearization problem for
 371 Π is to know whether the following exact sequence of Lie algebra splits:

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(d_0) \longrightarrow C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \left(T_0^* M, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}} \right) \longrightarrow 0$$

372 On the other side, from the deformation theory viewpoint, a sufficient condition for the
 373 formal linearization of $(T^* M, \mathfrak{h})$ (resp. Π) is the vanishing of the cohomology space
 374 $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g}))$ (resp. $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, W^*)$) for every homogeneous submodule W of the graded
 375 \mathfrak{g} -module $S^+(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. Now, let us suppose the Lie algebra:

$$\mathfrak{g} = (T_0^* M, \Pi^1)$$

376 to have an affinelinear Lie algebra structure, namely (\mathfrak{g}, e) . Let us denote by \mathfrak{g}_f the formal
 377 deformation of \mathfrak{g} defined by the Taylor expansion at 0 of the anchor map \mathfrak{h} . Its bracket is in
 378 a basis (dx_1, \dots, dx_m) is defined as follows:

$$[dx_i, dx_j] = \sum_k \left(\sum_I c_{ij}^{I,k}(0) x^I \right) dx_k$$

379 Where $c_{ij}^{l,k}(0)$ is regarded as an element of $\text{Hom}(S^{l(l)}\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{g})$. Keeping the notations of the
 380 previous sections, we deduce from Theorem (4) that

$$H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}(W, \mathfrak{g})) = 0.$$

381 Thus, the formal Lie algebra structure \mathfrak{g}_f is formally isomorphic to its linear part, namely
 382 (T_0^*M, Π^1) □

383 *Remark 4.* When the Lie algebra (T^*M, \mathfrak{h}) is an affineline Lie algebra, it is more relevant
 384 to deal with the splitting problem of 18 rather than to deal with formal diffeomorphisms as
 385 Conn (resp. Nguyen Tien Zung) does in the case where \mathfrak{g} is semi-simple. Indeed, when \mathfrak{g}
 386 is semi-simple, one has Casimir elements which permit to construct an explicit homotopy
 387 operator. That operator yields an explicit formal diffeomorphism linearizing formally the
 388 Poisson structure. If \mathfrak{g} is an non solvable affineline Lie algebra, one proceeds as it follows.
 389 For every non negative integer k , let $S_k = S_k^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ be the set of formal power series
 390 whose orders are at least equal to $k + 1$. Every S_k is an ideal of the Lie algebra S_0 and the
 391 quotient Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_k = S_0/S_k$ may be identified with the vector space $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} S^j(\mathfrak{g})$. In
 392 particular one has $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, one has the following exact sequence of Lie algebras:

$$0 \longrightarrow S^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_k \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{k-1} \longrightarrow 0$$

393 If $j < k$, the canonical projection of \mathfrak{g}_k onto \mathfrak{g}_j is denoted by p_{jk} . It is easily seen that
 394 $p_{ij}p_{jk} = p_{ik}$. Thereby, one has the projective system (\mathfrak{g}_k, p_{jk}) . In particular the following
 395 exact sequence of Lie algebras splits:

$$0 \longrightarrow S^2(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0$$

396 Thus, one constructs inductively an Lie algebra monomorphism $\varrho_j: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_j$ such that for
 397 $j < k$, one has $p_{jk}\varrho_k = \varrho_j$. Those considerations yield a Lie algebra monomorphism ϱ
 398 from \mathfrak{g} to the inverse limit of (\mathfrak{g}_k, p_{jk}) , which is $j_0^\infty(C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}))$. That is nothing but the
 399 formal linearization of the Poisson structure, [27].

400 Now, suppose the Poisson tensor Π to be analytic. Then, the corresponding Lie algebroid
 401 is analytic as well. If $\mathfrak{g} = (T_0^*M, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is semi-simple or if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{n,1}$, then the analytic
 402 counterparts of Theorem 5 are nothing but Conn's linearization theorem of analytic Poisson
 403 structure, [9, 10, 22] and the recent analytic non degeneracy theorem for $\text{aff}(n)$ by Jean-Paul
 404 Dufour and Nguyen Tien Zung, [13]. If \mathfrak{g} is an affineline Lie algebra, we know how to
 405 construct a Lie algebra monomorphism from \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{g} . Indeed, let:

$$\sigma: \mathfrak{g} \mapsto \mathfrak{g}_1$$

406 be a linear monomorphism such that $p_{01}\sigma$ is the identity endomorphism of \mathfrak{g} ; the bilinear
 407 map:

$$\omega(a, b) = \sigma([a, b]) - [\sigma(a), \sigma(b)], \quad a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$$

408 is a $S^2(\mathfrak{g})$ -valued 2-cocycle of \mathfrak{g} whose cohomology class doesn't depend on the choice of
 409 σ . On one side, the linear map:

$$\bar{\omega}(a) = (a - \sigma(p_{01}(a))) + p_{01}(a)$$

410 is a Lie algebra isomorphism from \mathfrak{g}_1 onto the semi direct product $S^2(\mathfrak{g}) \ltimes \mathfrak{g}$. On the other
 411 side, there is an element $\theta \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, S^2(\mathfrak{g}))$ such that:

$$\omega(a, b) = [\sigma(a), \theta(b)] - [\sigma(b), \theta(a)] - \theta([a, b]).$$

412 The map ϱ_1 assigning to every $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ the element $\varrho_1(a) = \theta(a) + a$ is an Lie algebra
 413 monomorphism from \mathfrak{g} to the semi-direct product $S^2(\mathfrak{g}) \ltimes \mathfrak{g}$. What is just done is nothing
 414 but the first step yielding a Lie algebra monomorphism ϱ from \mathfrak{g} to $j^\infty(C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}))$. Thus,
 415 let x_1, \dots, x_n be a basis of \mathfrak{g} ; let c_{ij}^k be the structure constants of \mathfrak{g} in the basis $(x_i)_{i=1 \dots n}$,
 416 viz:

$$[x_i, x_j] = \sum_k c_{ij}^k x_k$$

417 then one has also:

$$[\varrho(x_i), \varrho(x_j)] = \sum_k c_{ij}^k \varrho(x_k)$$

418 So, the analytic linearization problem is to prove that one can choose the basic data σ
 419 and θ to make sure that the formal series $\varrho(x_1), \dots, \varrho(x_n)$ are convergent. By the virtue
 420 of Conn's theorem (resp. Dufour-Nguen tien Zung's Theorem) such data can be chosen
 421 whenever \mathfrak{g} is semi-simple (resp. \mathfrak{g} is the affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aff}(n)$).

422 *Remark 5.* We conjecture that the same conclusion holds for non solvable affinlike Lie
 423 algebras.

424 Finally, as a by product, we have the proof of theorem 1. In fact, this is the Poisson
 425 counterpart of Theorem 5. Mutatis mutandis, the same arguments used in the proofs of
 426 Theorem 5 yield:

$$H^2(\mathfrak{g}, S^+(\mathfrak{g})) = 0$$

427 for every non solvable affinlike Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, e) . Therefore, such an affinlike Lie algebra
 428 is formally nondegenerate. Let us now give the proof of Theorem 2.

429 **5.4. Prof of 2.** We have already proved the equality:

$$H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{K}) = H^2(\Delta\mathfrak{g}_e, \mathbb{K})$$

430 We denote the radical of $\Delta_e\mathfrak{g}$ by $R(\Delta\mathfrak{g}_e)$. Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence
 431 yields:

$$H^2(\Delta\mathfrak{g}_e, \mathbb{R}) = H^0(\Delta\mathfrak{g}_e, H^2(R(\Delta\mathfrak{g}_e), \mathbb{R}))$$

432 Without loss of generality, we may suppose the ground field to be the field of complex
 433 numbers. Let $S \subset \Delta\mathfrak{g}_e$ a Levi sub-algebra of $\Delta\mathfrak{g}_e$, and let $\mathfrak{h} \subset S$ be a Cartan sub-
 434 algebra. Let α be a weigh of the S -module $R(\Delta\mathfrak{g}_e)$ and let us denote by $r_\alpha \in R(\Delta\mathfrak{g}_e)$
 435 be a non zero element such that $[H, r_\alpha] = \alpha(H)r_\alpha, \forall H \in \mathfrak{h}$. It is a straight consequence
 436 of (alla 3) that $R(\Delta\mathfrak{g}_e)$ is spanned by the elements r_α . Thus, every cohomology class
 437 $[\theta] \in H^0(\Delta\mathfrak{g}_e, H^2(R(\Delta\mathfrak{g}_e), \mathbb{R}))$ may be represented by a cocycle $\theta \in \text{Hom}(R(\Delta\mathfrak{g}_e), \mathbb{R})$
 438 such that $\forall H \in \mathfrak{h}, L_H\theta$ is the differential of a $\phi_H \in \text{Hom}(R(\Delta\mathfrak{g}_e), \mathbb{R})$. . So, given two
 439 elements $r_\alpha, r_{\alpha'}$ as defined above, one has:

$$(\alpha + \alpha')(H)\theta(r_\alpha, r_{\alpha'}) = \phi_H([r_\alpha, r_{\alpha'}]).$$

440 Since $R(\Delta\mathfrak{g}_e)$ is commutative, the last identity implies the following one:

$$(\alpha + \alpha')(H)\theta(r_\alpha, r_{\alpha'}) = 0.$$

441 Thus we see that $H^2(R(\Delta\mathfrak{g}_e), \mathbb{R}) = 0$. So, if ω is a left invariant symplectic form on G , then
 442 ω is the differential of a left invariant 1-form θ Thereby, the orbit of θ under the coadjoint
 443 action of G is an open set in the dual vector space \mathfrak{g}^* . If the ground field \mathbb{K} is the field of

444 complex numbers, then $\text{Ad}^*G(\theta)$ is the complement of an algebraic variety. Indeed, given
 445 an element $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, let x_η , be the element of \mathfrak{g} defined by:

$$i(x_\eta)\omega = \eta.$$

446 Let $\theta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, let us define the linear endomorphism Φ_θ of \mathfrak{g}^* by setting:

$$\Phi_\theta(\eta) = \text{ad}^*(x_\eta\theta).$$

447 The orbit of θ is an open set in \mathfrak{g}^* if and only if Φ_θ is injective; in this case, $\text{Ad}^*G(\theta)$ is an
 448 open and dense orbit. Since we assumed the ground field to be \mathbb{C} , it is unique.

449 Theorem 2 yields the following statement.

450 **Theorem 6.** *Let (n, m) be a pair of positive integers. If $n > 2$, then one has:*

$$H^2(\mathfrak{g}_{n,m}, \mathbb{R}) = 0$$

451 *In particular, ([24]) those of the $\mathfrak{g}_{n,m}$ which admit symplectic forms are Frobenius algebras.*

452

6. A-ALGEBROIDS

453 This section will be devoted to a relevant application of Theorem 4. To begin with,
 454 let us recall the following normal forms theorem of Jean-Paul Dufour, [12] (see also
 455 [2, 5–7, 20]).

456 **Theorem 7.** *DUF2 Let (A, a) be a Lie algebroid of rank n over a smooth m - dimensional
 457 manifold M . Let r be the rank of the anchor map a at the point $p \in M$. Then, on an open
 458 neighborhood U of p , there exist:*

459 (1) *A basis of local sections of A , say $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-r}\}$,*

460 (2) *A system of local coordinate functions on M , say $\{x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_{m-r}\}$*

461 *Such that the next conditions are satisfied for any $j = 1, \dots, r; k, l = 1, \dots, n - r$:*

462 i) $a(\sigma_j) = \partial x_j$ and $a(\tau_k)(p) = 0$,

463 ii) $a(\tau_k)$ doesn't depend on x_1, \dots, x_r ,

464 iii) $[\sigma_j, \tau_k] = 0$,

465 iv) $[\tau_k, \tau_l] = \sum_v c_{kl}^v \tau_v$, the functions c_{kl}^v depending only on y_1, \dots, y_{m-r} .

466 In [6], R. Wolak and the author given an another proof of Theorem 7 which works for
 467 Koszul-Vinberg algebroids as well. Both proofs in [12] and in [6] are based in differential
 468 geometry arguments. The author intends to read the theorem of J-P Dufour from the sheaf
 469 theoretic point of view. Really, Theorem 7 is different from the local decomposition of
 470 Poisson manifolds, [26].

471 Let (A, a) be a smooth or analytic algebroid over a smooth or analytic manifold M . Let us
 472 denote by $\Gamma(A)$ (resp. $\Gamma(TM)$) the sheaf of local smooth sections of A (resp. TM). Because
 473 the anchor map a may have non constant rank, the following exact sequence:

$$\ker(a) \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow TM$$

474 is not a sequence a vector bundles over M . However, the anchor map induces a sheaf
 475 homomorphism:

$$\Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$$

476 which is still denoted by a . The kernel of the last sheaf homomorphism is a sub-sheaf
 477 of $\Gamma(A)$, denoted $\text{Ker}(a)$. It is not locally trivial. However, $\Gamma(A)$ is a sheaf of $\text{Ker}(a)$ -
 478 modules. Let $\tau \in \Gamma(A)$, $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. Setting $\tau.X = [a(\tau), X]$ makes $\Gamma(TM)$ inherit
 479 the trivial $\text{Ker}(a)$ -module structure. So, one points out the following exact sequence of
 480 $\text{Ker}(a)$ -modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(a) \longrightarrow \Gamma(A) \longrightarrow a(\Gamma(A)) \longrightarrow 0$$

481 Now, let us point out the following observation. The algebraic counterpart of Theorem 7
 482 is that the cohomology class in $H^1(\text{Ker}(a), \text{Hom}(a(\Gamma(A)), \text{Ker}(a)))$ which is represented
 483 by the extension above is zero. So, in some particular cases, that algebraic counterpart of
 484 Theorem 7 can be proved directly. In the next, we intend to give such a proof for stratified
 485 a -algebroids. Let us consider the Leibniz axiom of (A, a) . Then, given two sections s, s' of
 486 A and a smooth function f , one has:

$$[s, fs'] = f[s, s'] + (a(s)f)s'$$

487 It is a straight consequence of the identity above that the bracket of sections of A induces a
 488 Lie algebra structure on the vector space:

$$\text{ker}(a)(p) = \text{span}\{\tau_1(p), \dots, \tau_{n-r}(p)\}$$

489 **Definition 7.** A lie algebroid (A, a) over a smooth manifold M is called a -algebroid (resp.
 490 non solvable a -algebroid) if $\forall p \in M, \text{ker}(a)(p)$ is an affinlike Lie algebra (resp. non
 491 solvable affinlike Lie algebra).

492 Our aim is to show how in the case of non solvable a -algebroids, one can use the vanishing
 493 theorems of Section 5 to supply an algebraic proof of Theorem 7. Now, let (A, a) be an
 494 a -algebroid over the manifold M ; For all $p \in M$, the bracket of sections of A induces an
 495 affinlike Lie algebra structure on $\text{ker}(a)(p)$. Let $r(p) = \text{rank}(a(p))$. We put:

$$r_1(a) = \max_p(r(p)).$$

496 The singular points of (A, a) are elements of the subset $\Sigma(a)$ which consists of those $p \in M$
 497 where $r(p) < r_1$. Of course $M - \Sigma(a)$ is an open subset of M . Let $p \in M - \Sigma(a)$ and let
 498 $V \subset M - \Sigma(a)$ be an connected open neighborhood of p . Then, over the sub-manifold V ,
 499 we get the following exact sequence of vector bundles:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{ker}(a) \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow a(A) \longrightarrow 0$$

500 On one side, the sub-vector bundle $a(A) \subset TV$ defines a regular foliation on V . On the
 501 other side, $\text{ker}(a)$ is a locally trivial bundle (over V) of non solvable affinlike Lie algebras.
 502 Indeed, we know that $\forall p \in V$ one has:

$$H^2(\text{ker}(a)(p), \text{ker}(a)(p)) = 0.$$

503 So, all of the Lie algebras $\text{ker}(a)(p)$ are rigid, [3, 23]. Thus, $\forall p, p' \in V$, the Lie algebras
 504 $\text{ker}(a)(p)$ and $\text{ker}(a)(p')$ are isomorphic. Over the open set V , the action of $\text{Ker}(a) =$
 505 $\Gamma(\text{ker}(a))$ on $X(V)$ is trivial. Restricting ourself to V , we regard $a(A)$ and TV as bundles
 506 of $\text{Ker}(a)$ -modules. For our purpose, we shall need the following result.

507 **Theorem 8.** *If T is a trivial module of a non solvable affinlike Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, e) , then*
 508 $\text{Ext}_{\Delta\mathfrak{g}}^1(T, \mathfrak{g}) = 0$.

509 *Proof.* Keeping the notations of section 2, we consider the following exact sequence of
510 $\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules:

$$(19) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(T, \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(T, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(T, \mathfrak{g}/\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}) \longrightarrow 0$$

Step 1.

511 Let us show that:

$$H^1(\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}, \text{Hom}(T, \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}})) = 0.$$

512 To do that, we assume again the ground field to be the field of complex numbers. Let us fix
513 a Levi subalgebra $S([\mathfrak{g}_e, \mathfrak{g}_e])$ and a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset S$. To (\mathfrak{h}, S) we shall also assign
514 a fixed weights system $\{\alpha, \beta, \dots\}$ (for the S -module $\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}$). Let $\theta \in \text{Hom}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}, \text{Hom}(T, \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}))$
515 be a cocycle of $\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}$. There exists an element $\xi \in \text{Hom}(T, \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}})$ such that $\forall s \in S, \forall t \in T$, one
516 has:

$$(\theta(s))(t) = (L_s \xi)(t) = [s, \xi(t)].$$

517 Now, let (α, β) be a couple of weights of the S -module $\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and let r_{α} be a non zero element
518 of $\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}$ whose weight is α . Pour tout $t \in T$ et $H \in \mathfrak{h}$, we have:

$$[H, (\theta(r_{\alpha}))(t)] [r_{\alpha}, (\theta(H))(t)] = (\theta([H, r_{\alpha}])(t)).$$

519 Let us compute the β -component of the identity just set. The calculation yields the following
520 identity:

$$(\beta - \alpha) \theta_b(r_{\alpha}) = [r_{\alpha}, (\theta(H))(t)]_{\beta} = [r_{\alpha}, [H, (\xi(t))_{\beta - \alpha}]] = (\beta - \alpha)(H) [r_{\alpha}, \xi_{\beta - \alpha}(t)]$$

521 The last identity will hold if and only if $\forall \alpha, \forall t \in T$, one has:

$$\theta(r_{\alpha})(t) = [r_{\alpha}, \xi(t)].$$

522 So we have to conclude that:

$$H^1(\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}, \text{Hom}(T, \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}})) = 0.$$

Step 2.

523 It is convenient now to observe that the structure of $\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module of $\text{Hom}(T, \mathfrak{g}, \Delta_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is
524 trivial. Thus, we can identify $H^1(\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}, \text{Hom}(T, \mathfrak{g}/\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}))$ with $(H^1(\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathbb{K}))^{\dim T}$. Since the
525 Lie algebra $\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is perfect, $H^1(\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathbb{K}) = 0$. Taking into account both *Step 1* and *Step 2*
526 above, we deduce from the exact cohomology sequence given by the exact sequence 19 that
527 $H^1(\Delta_{\mathfrak{g}}, \text{Hom}(T, \mathfrak{g})) = 0$. \square

An algebraic proof of theorem 7

528 *Proof.* Let us keep the notations already used above. Let us fix a connected open set
529 $V \subset M - \Sigma(a)$. From the sheaf theoretic point of view, one is interested in the following
530 sheaf cohomology:

$$H^1(\Delta \text{Ker}(a), \Gamma(\text{Hom}(a(A), \text{Ker}(a)))).$$

531 By taking into account Theorem 8, one sees that:

$$H^1(\Delta \text{Ker}(a), \Gamma(\text{Hom}(a(A), \text{Ker}(a)))) = 0.$$

532 In other words, one has:

$$\text{Ext}_{\Delta \text{Ker}(a)}^1((a(\Gamma(A)), \text{Ker}(a))) = 0.$$

533 Thereby, the following exact sequence of $\Delta \Gamma(\text{ker}(a))$ -modules splits:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(a) \longrightarrow \Gamma(A) \longrightarrow a(\Gamma(A)) \longrightarrow 0$$

534 The last assertion means that every point $p \in V$ has an open neighborhood U on which the
535 following claims hold:

536 (a) It exists a partial basis of local sections of A , say $(\sigma_i)_{i=1\dots r}$, such that:

$$\forall p \in U, \text{rank}\{a(\sigma_1)(p), \dots, a(\sigma_r)(p)\} = r;$$

537 (a) It exists a basis of local sections of $\Delta\text{Ker}(a)$, say $(\tau_j)_{j=1\dots n-r-1}$ satisfying:

$$[\sigma_i, \tau_j] = 0.$$

538 To yield the normal forms theorem of [12], let us assume the domain U of (σ_i, τ_j) to be
539 also a domain of local coordinate functions of V , say $(x_i, y_l)_{i=1\dots r, l=1\dots m-r}$ such that the
540 connected components of the leaves of $a(A)$ which are included in U are defined by the
541 following systems:

$$y_l = \text{cte}, l = 1 \dots m - r$$

542 Thereby, one can choose the local basis (σ_i) such that:

$$a(\sigma_i) = \partial x_i, i = 1 \dots r.$$

543 The last conditions imply that:

$$[\sigma_i, \sigma_{i'}] = 0.$$

544 Therefore, the property $[\sigma_i, \tau_l] = 0$ implies that:

$$[\tau_l, \tau_{l'}] = \sum_{l''} c_{ll''}^{l''}(y_1, \dots, y_{m-r}) \tau_{l''}.$$

545 To end the "algebraic" proof of Theorem 7, let us remind that all of the fibers of
546 $(\ker(a))(p), p \in V$, are isomorphic to a fixed non solvable affineline Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, e) .
547 So, $\text{Ker}(a)$ may be regarded as a (\mathfrak{g}, e) -Current algebra over V , [16]. Now, to end the proof,
548 let us assume that U is also a domain of trivialization of (A, a) as well. So, as vector bundle
549 over U , $\ker(a)$ is isomorphic to $Ux(\mathfrak{g}, e)$. Let τ_0 be the section of A defined by:

$$\tau_0(p) = (p, e), \forall p \in U.$$

Now, to every couple $(u, s) \in \Delta_e \mathfrak{g} \times S$, we assign the couple (v, τ) of sections of A which is defined by:

$$v(p) = (p, u)$$

$$\tau(p) = (p, s)$$

550 Actually, one easily sees that for $i = 1 \dots r$, $[\tau_0, \sigma_i] \in \Gamma(\ker(a))$. On one hand, the
551 identity:

$$[\tau, [\tau_0, \sigma_i]] = 0$$

552 shows that $[\tau_0, \alpha_i] \in \Delta\text{Ker}(a)$. On the other hand, the identity:

$$[\tau, [\tau_0, \sigma_i]] = 0$$

553 shows that $\forall p \in V$, $[\tau_0, \sigma_i](p) \in H^0(S, \Delta\mathfrak{g})$. Since (\mathfrak{g}, e) is non solvable, one has to
554 conclude that:

$$[\tau_0, \sigma_i] = 0.$$

555 Thus, the system:

$$\{\tau_l, \sigma_i\}_{l=0\dots n-r, i=1\dots r}$$

556 is a basis of local sections of A satisfying the properties required in Theorem 7. To get the
 557 general case, let us assume that the a -algebroid (A, a) is stratified in following sense. The
 558 manifold M admits a filtration:

$$M = \Sigma_0 \supset \Sigma_1 \supset \dots \supset \Sigma_i \supset \dots$$

559 with the next property. Let $(A_i, a_i;)$ be the pull back of (A, a) by the inclusion map of Σ_i
 560 in M . Then:

561 (Str 1) $\Sigma_{i=1}$ consists of singular points of (A_i, a_i) .

562 (Str 2) The family $\Sigma_i - \Sigma_{i+1}$ is locally finite.

563 Claim (Str 1) implies that $a_i(A_i)$ defines a regular foliation on $\Sigma_i - \Sigma_{i+1}$. Our algebraic
 564 proof of Theorem 7 works for the restriction $\Sigma_i - \Sigma_{i+1}$ of (A_i, a_i) . Actually all of the Σ_i are
 565 closed sub-manifolds of M . Let d_i be the dimension of σ_i . Every point of M has an open
 566 neighborhood U which is the domaine of local coordinate functions of M , say (x_1, \dots, x_m)
 567 such that the connected components of $U \cap \Sigma_i$ are defined by the system

$$x_{d_i+1} = \text{cte}, \dots, x_m = \text{cte}.$$

568 The last assertion yields Theorem 7 for stratified a -algebroid. □

569 Let us make the following observations. Firstly, a Lie algebroid (A, a) over the manifold
 570 M is called s — algebroid if $\forall p \in M, \ker(a)(p)$ is a semi-simple Lie algebra. Now, we
 571 observe that all of the cohomology arguments which are used in the algebraic proof of
 572 Theorem 7 work for s -algebroids. Secondly, R. Wolak and the author have proved the
 573 analogue to Theorem 7 for Koszul-Vinberg algebroids, [6]. Our analytic proof of normal
 574 forms theorem for Koszul-Vinberg algebroids might have its algebraic counterpart in KV-
 575 cohomology [3–5].

576 7. CONCLUSION

577 In information geometry, the divergence function defines a regular Poisson structure in the
 578 neighborhood of the diagonal, which becomes a Lagrangian sub-manifold for it. Poisson
 579 tensors are also encountered when dealing with antisymmetric $(1, 1)$ -tensors satisfying a
 580 gauge equation, as indicated in the introduction. It is thus relevant to study such structures,
 581 especially from the standing viewpoint of linearization. Within this frame, as pointed out by
 582 A. Weinstein, understanding the question of degeneracy of Lie algebra is a key ingredient.
 583 Our treatment of the problem makes use of cohomological machineries culminating in
 584 theorem 5 stating that any non solvable affinelike Lie algebra is non-degenerate. This result
 585 is part of a larger program for understanding Poisson structures in information geometry,
 586 that will be addressed in future works.

587 REFERENCES

- 588 [1] A. Medina and P. Revoy. Groupes de lie à structure symplectique invariante. In Pierre Dazord and Alan
 589 Weinstein, editors, *Symplectic Geometry, Groupoids, and Integrable Systems*, pages 247–266, New York,
 590 NY, 1991. Springer US.
- 591 [2] M. Bauer. Feuilletage singulier défini par une distribution presque régulière. *Collectanea Mathematica*,
 592 37:189–209, 1986.
- 593 [3] M.N. Boyom. Cohomology of koszul-vinberg algebroids and poisson manifolds, i. *Banach Center Publica-*
 594 *tions*, 54:99–110, 2001.
- 595 [4] M.N. Boyom. The cohomology of koszul-vinberg algebras. <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0202259v1>, 2002.
- 596 [5] M.N. Boyom and R. Wolak. Affine structures and kv-cohomology. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*,
 597 42:307–317, 2002.

- 598 [6] M.N. Boyom and R. Wolak. Local structure of koszul-vinberg and of lie algebroids. *Bulletin Des Sciences*
599 *Mathematiques*, 128:467–479, 2004.
- 600 [7] D. Cerveau. Distributions involutives singulières. *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, 29:261–294, 1979.
- 601 [8] C. Chevalley and S. Eilenberg. Cohomology theory of lie groups and lie algebras. *Transactions of the*
602 *American Mathematical Society*, 63:85–124, 1948.
- 603 [9] J. F. Conn. Normal forms for analytic poisson structures. *Annals of Mathematics*, 119:433, 1984.
- 604 [10] J. F. Conn. Normal forms for smooth poisson structures. *Annals of Mathematics*, 121:565–593, 1985.
- 605 [11] P. Dazord and D. Sondaz. Chapitre I Variétés de Poisson - Algèbroïdes de Lie. *Publications du Département*
606 *de mathématiques (Lyon)*, (1B):1–68, 1988.
- 607 [12] J. P. Dufour. Normal forms for lie algebroids. *Banach Center Publications*, 54:35–41, 2001.
- 608 [13] J. P. Dufour and T.Z. Nguyen. Nondegeneracy of the lie algebra $\text{aff}(n)$. *Comptes Rendus Mathématique*,
609 335:1043–1046, 2002.
- 610 [14] J.P. Dufour. Private communication.
- 611 [15] J.P. Dufour and J. Molinier. Une nouvelle famille d'algèbres de lie non dégénérées. *Indagationes Mathemat-*
612 *icae*, 6:67–82, 1995.
- 613 [16] D.B. Fuks. *Cohomology of Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras*. Monographs in Contemporary Mathematics.
614 Springer US, 2012.
- 615 [17] V. W. Guillemin and S. Sternberg. An algebraic model of transitive differential geometry. *Bulletin of the*
616 *American Mathematical Society*, 70:16–47, 1964.
- 617 [18] G. Hochschild and J-P. Serre. Cohomology of group extensions. *Transactions of the American Mathematical*
618 *Society*, 74(1):110–134, 1953.
- 619 [19] S. Kobayashi and T. Nagano. On filtered lie algebras and geometric structures i. *Journal of Mathematics and*
620 *Mechanics*, 13(5):875–907, 1964.
- 621 [20] B. Malgrange. Frobenius avec singularités. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 39:67–89, 1977.
- 622 [21] C-M. Marle. The schouten-nijenhuis bracket and interior products. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*,
623 23(3):350–359, 1997.
- 624 [22] T.Z. Nguyen. A geometric proof of conn's linearization theorem for analytic poisson structures.
625 *arXiv:Math.SG/0207263*, 2002.
- 626 [23] A. Nijenhuis and R. W. Richardson. Deformations of lie algebra structures. *Indiana University Mathematics*
627 *Journal*, 17:89–105, 1967.
- 628 [24] M. Rais. La représentation coadjointe du groupe affine. *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, 28:207–237, 1978.
- 629 [25] A. Wade. Normalisation formelle de structures de poisson. *Comptes Rendus De L Academie Des Sciences*
630 *Serie I-mathématique*, 324:531–536, 1997.
- 631 [26] A. Weinstein. The local structure of poisson manifolds. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 18:523–557, 1983.
- 632 [27] A. Weinstein. Linearization problems for lie algebroids and lie groupoids. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*,
633 52:93–102, 2000.